

Disclaimer

The solution at hand was written in the course of the respective class at the University of Bonn. If not stated differently on top of the first page or the following website, the solution was prepared and handed in solely by me, Marvin Zanke. Anything in a different color than the ball pen blue is usually a correction that I or a tutor made. For more information and all my material, check:

<https://www.physics-and-stuff.com/>

I raise no claim to correctness and completeness of the given solutions! This equally applies to the corrections mentioned above.

This work by [Marvin Zanke](#) is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License](#).

HM) Defining property for gamma matrices: $\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2g^{\mu\nu} \mathbb{1}$

a) We want to show that the Weyl representation 20/20

Need to find α and β s.t. $\gamma^i = \beta \sigma^i$, $\gamma^0 = \beta$
so Dirac eq fulfilled?

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{1}_{2 \times 2} \\ \mathbb{1}_{2 \times 2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

fulfills this relation.

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet 2g^{00} \mathbb{1} &\stackrel{!}{=} \gamma^0 \gamma^0 + \gamma^0 \gamma^0 = 2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{1} \\ \mathbb{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{1} \\ \mathbb{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix} = 2\mathbb{1} \\ &= 2g^{00} \mathbb{1} \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet 2g^{0i} \mathbb{1} &\stackrel{!}{=} \gamma^0 \gamma^i + \gamma^i \gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{1} \\ \mathbb{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{1} \\ \mathbb{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma^i & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^i \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^i & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma^i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= 2g^{0i} \mathbb{1} \end{aligned}$$

Can you just multiply those blocks of matrices with each other?

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet 2g^{ij} \mathbb{1} &\stackrel{!}{=} \gamma^i \gamma^j + \gamma^j \gamma^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^j \\ -\sigma^j & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^j \\ -\sigma^j & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^i \sigma^j & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma^i \sigma^j \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma^j \sigma^i & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma^j \sigma^i \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} E & F \\ G & H \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E & F \\ G & H \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} AE+BG & AF+BH \\ CE+DG & CF+DH \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= - \begin{pmatrix} \{\sigma^i, \sigma^j\} & 0 \\ 0 & \{\sigma^i, \sigma^j\} \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{\uparrow}{=} - \begin{pmatrix} 2\delta_{ij} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ 0 & 2\delta_{ij} \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix}$$

property of Pauli matrices

$$= 2g^{ij} \mathbb{1} \quad \checkmark$$

As $\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = \{\gamma^\nu, \gamma^\mu\}$ and $g^{\mu\nu} = g^{\nu\mu}$, these matrices fulfill $\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2g^{\mu\nu} \mathbb{1}$, $\forall \mu, \nu = 0, \dots, 3$

$$\begin{aligned}
 b) \quad \delta^5 &= i \delta^0 \delta^1 \delta^2 \delta^3 = i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^1 \\ -\sigma^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^2 \\ -\sigma^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^3 \\ \sigma^3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= i \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma^1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma^2 \sigma^3 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma^2 \sigma^3 \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= i \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^1 \sigma^2 \sigma^3 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma^1 \sigma^2 \sigma^3 \end{pmatrix} = i \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

$\delta^1, \delta^2, \delta^3$
 exactly the
 same
 as in
 standard
 representation

$$\text{as } \sigma^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rightarrow \sigma^1 \sigma^2 \sigma^3 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

Why $\mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^4$? #12) For this exercise, we will be using the standard representation of the γ -matrices and $\mathcal{H} := \{\psi: \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^4 \mid \psi \text{ spinor}\}$

$$\hat{C}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, \quad \hat{C}\psi := i\gamma^2\psi^*$$

What does \hat{C} spinor mean?

a) $\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu = (\gamma^\nu)^*$

Why \hat{C} defined like this?

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu &= \begin{cases} 2g^{\mu\nu} \mathbb{1} - \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu & \text{if } \mu = \nu \\ \gamma^\mu - 2g^{\mu\nu} \gamma^\nu & \text{if } \mu \neq \nu \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \gamma^\mu & \text{for } \mu \neq 2 \\ \gamma^2 - 2\gamma^2 = -\gamma^2 & \text{for } \mu = 2 \end{cases} \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

AND using $\alpha^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ \sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto (\alpha^i)^* = \begin{cases} \alpha^i, & i=1,3 \\ -\alpha^i, & i=2 \end{cases}$

with $\sigma^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\sigma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\sigma^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$

Solving without different cases?

$$\mapsto (\sigma^1)^* = \sigma^1, \quad (\sigma^2)^* = -\sigma^2, \quad (\sigma^3)^* = \sigma^3$$

We find that

$$\gamma^0 = \beta \Rightarrow (\gamma^0)^* = \gamma^0 \quad \text{as } \beta = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1}_{2 \times 2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbb{1}_{2 \times 2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Could also show this in Weyl repr.
 \rightarrow why standard representation?

$$\gamma^i = \beta \alpha^i \Rightarrow (\gamma^i)^* = \beta^* (\alpha^i)^* = \begin{cases} \beta \alpha^i, & i=1,3 \\ -\beta \alpha^i, & i=2 \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \gamma^i, & i=1,3 \\ -\gamma^i, & i=2 \end{cases}$$

and therefore

$$(\gamma^\mu)^* = \begin{cases} \gamma^\mu & \text{for } \mu \neq 2 \\ -\gamma^\mu & \text{for } \mu = 2 \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

\mapsto Comparing (1) and (2) yields $(\gamma^\mu)^* = \gamma^2 \gamma^\mu \gamma^2$

only c.c. / no comparing?

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Besides: } \hat{C}^2 \psi &= \hat{C}(\hat{C}\psi) = \hat{C}(i\gamma^2\psi^*) = i\gamma^2(i\gamma^2\psi^*)^* \\ &= i\gamma^2(-i(\gamma^2)^*(\psi^*)^*) = \gamma^2(\gamma^2)^*\psi \\ &= \gamma^2\gamma^2\gamma^2\gamma^2\psi = (-\mathbb{1})(-\mathbb{1})\psi = \psi \end{aligned}$$

b) let ψ be a solution of the Dirac eq with e.m field and charge e

$$\rightarrow (i\cancel{\partial} - eA - m)\psi(x) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (i\cancel{\partial}\gamma^\mu - eA_\mu\gamma^\mu - m)\psi(x) = 0 \quad (1)$$

We want to show that

$$(i\cancel{\partial} + eA - m)\hat{C}\psi(x) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (i\cancel{\partial} + eA - m)(i\gamma^2\psi^*(x)) = 0$$

$$(1)^* \Leftrightarrow (-i\cancel{\partial}^*\gamma^\mu)^* - eA_\mu^*\gamma^\mu - m)\psi^*(x) = 0$$

A_μ and $\cancel{\partial}$ are real and (a) $\Rightarrow (-i\cancel{\partial} \gamma^2 \gamma^\mu \gamma^2 - eA_\mu \gamma^2 \gamma^\mu \gamma^2 - m)\psi^*(x) = 0$

multiply by γ^2 $\Rightarrow \gamma^2 (-i\cancel{\partial} \gamma^2 \gamma^\mu \gamma^2 - eA_\mu \gamma^2 \gamma^\mu \gamma^2 - m)\psi^*(x) = 0$

$\gamma^2 \cancel{\partial} = -\cancel{\partial} \gamma^2$ $\Rightarrow (i\cancel{\partial} \gamma^\mu + eA_\mu \gamma^\mu - m\gamma^2)\psi^*(x) = 0$
 γ^2 commutes with $\cancel{\partial}$ and A_μ

multiply by i $\Rightarrow (i\cancel{\partial} \gamma^\mu + eA_\mu \gamma^\mu - m)(i\gamma^2\psi^*(x)) = 0$

$$\Leftrightarrow (i\cancel{\partial} + eA - m)(\hat{C}\psi(x)) = 0 \quad \blacksquare$$

Why not use Pauli eq.?

$\cancel{\partial}$ not time dependent?

$A_\mu^* = A_\mu$?
 $\cancel{\partial}^* = \cancel{\partial}$.

Can you just multiply an equation by a matrix?

c) $\psi(t, \vec{x}) = e^{-iEt} \phi(\vec{x})$ stationary state: $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \|\psi(t, \vec{x})\|^2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \|\phi(\vec{x})\|^2 = 0$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(t, \vec{x}) = i(-E)\psi(t, \vec{x}) = -E\psi(t, \vec{x}) \text{ with energy } E$$

Now: $\hat{C}\psi = i\gamma^2\psi^*(t, \vec{x}) = i\gamma^2 e^{iEt} \phi^*(\vec{x}) = e^{iEt} \tilde{\phi}(\vec{x}), \tilde{\phi}(\vec{x}) = i\gamma^2\phi^*(\vec{x})$

$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \|\hat{C}\psi\|^2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \|\tilde{\phi}(\vec{x})\|^2 = 0$ stationary

and $i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\hat{C}\psi(t, \vec{x})) = i(iE)i\gamma^2 e^{iEt} \phi^*(\vec{x}) = -E(i\gamma^2 e^{iEt} \phi^*(\vec{x})) = -E(\hat{C}\psi(t, \vec{x}))$

How to see that $\hat{C}\psi$ is a stationary state again?

$\phi^*(\vec{x})?$

$\phi(\vec{x}) \in C^4$ again?

What norm for spinors?