

Disclaimer

The solution at hand was written in the course of the respective class at the University of Bonn. If not stated differently on top of the first page or the following website, the solution was prepared and handed in solely by me, Marvin Zanke. Anything in a different color than the ball pen blue is usually a correction that I or a tutor made. For more information and all my material, check:

<https://www.physics-and-stuff.com/>

I raise no claim to correctness and completeness of the given solutions! This equally applies to the corrections mentioned above.

This work by [Marvin Zanke](#) is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License](#).

Advanced Theoretical Particle Physics Homework 11

07.07.2018 1) Had for the scalar potential

$$\mathcal{L} \supset V = \sum_i \left| \frac{\partial W}{\partial \Phi_i} \right|_{\phi=0}^2 = \sum_i W_i \bar{W}^i = \sum_i |F_i|^2$$

✓ where $W_i(\phi) = \frac{\partial W}{\partial \Phi_i}$, $\bar{W}^i(\phi^*) = \frac{\partial W^\dagger}{\partial \Phi_i^\dagger}$

What exactly $W^i(\phi^*)$? Analogous as for \bar{W}^i ?
no, yes, see book

Also $W_{ij}(\phi) = \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial \Phi_i \partial \Phi_j}$, $\bar{W}^{ij}(\phi^*) = \frac{\partial^2 W^\dagger}{\partial \Phi_i^\dagger \partial \Phi_j^\dagger}$

$$W_{ijk}(\phi) = \frac{\partial^3 W}{\partial \Phi_i \partial \Phi_j \partial \Phi_k}, \quad \bar{W}^{ijk}(\phi^*) = \frac{\partial^3 W^\dagger}{\partial \Phi_i^\dagger \partial \Phi_j^\dagger \partial \Phi_k^\dagger}$$

and we used the eq. of constraints $F_i = -\bar{W}^i$

$$F_i^\dagger = -W_i$$

Rather defined than found this for m^{\dagger} ?

For the fermionic mass matrix, one defines $m_{ij}^{\dagger} = \langle W_{ij} \rangle \equiv W_{ij}(\phi)$

Q The scalar mass term in \mathcal{L} takes the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{SMT} = -\frac{1}{2} (\phi_i, \phi_i^*) m_{ij}^{\dagger} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_j \\ \phi_j^* \end{pmatrix} \leftarrow \text{rather } \begin{pmatrix} \phi_j^* \\ \phi_j \end{pmatrix}?$$

Where is the $1/2$ in the mass term coming from? no just different param. (det., e.g. $-\frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}_i \psi_j$)
product rule causes the $1/2$

the general form of the superpotential is

$$W(\Phi) = \mu \Phi \Phi + \frac{1}{2} m_{ij} \Phi_i \Phi_j + \frac{1}{6} f_{ijk} \Phi_i \Phi_j \Phi_k$$

One then finds: m_{ij} totally sym.

$$W_i(\phi) = \mu_i + m_{ie} \phi_e + \frac{1}{2} f_{imn} \phi_n \phi_n$$

$$\bar{W}^i(\phi^*) = \mu_i^* + m_{ie}^* \phi_e^* + \frac{1}{2} f_{imn}^* \phi_n^* \phi_n^*$$

$$W_{ij}(\phi) = m_{ij} + f_{ije} \phi_e$$

$$\bar{W}^{ij}(\phi^*) = m_{ij}^* + f_{ije}^* \phi_e^*$$

$$W_{ijk}(\phi) = f_{ijk} = \left(\bar{W}^{ijk}(\phi^*) \right)^*$$

Can this be exp. further? no needed

$$\Rightarrow V = \sum_i \left\{ \mu_i + m_{ie} \phi_e + \frac{1}{2} f_{imn} \phi_n \phi_n \right\} \left\{ \mu_i^* + m_{ie}^* \phi_e^* + \frac{1}{2} f_{iab}^* \phi_a^* \phi_b^* \right\}$$

The mass terms can then be obtained by taking subsequent derivatives w.r.t. ϕ_x, ϕ_y^* ...

where $m_{ij}^{(S,2)}$ takes the form of a 2×2 matrix

$$m_{ij}^{(S,2)} = \begin{pmatrix} (m_{ij}^{(S,2)})_{11} & (m_{ij}^{(S,2)})_{12} \\ (m_{ij}^{(S,2)})_{21} & (m_{ij}^{(S,2)})_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \phi_i \partial \phi_j} & \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \phi_i \partial \phi_j^*} \\ \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \phi_i^* \partial \phi_j} & \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \phi_i^* \partial \phi_j^*} \end{pmatrix} \quad \phi = \langle \phi \rangle$$

and one easily verifies $(m_{ij}^{(S,2)})_{11} = \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \phi_i \partial \phi_j} \Big|_{\phi = \langle \phi \rangle}$, $(m_{ij}^{(S,2)})_{12} = \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \phi_i \partial \phi_j^*} \Big|_{\phi = \langle \phi \rangle}$ ✓
 $(m_{ij}^{(S,2)})_{21} = \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \phi_i^* \partial \phi_j} \Big|_{\phi = \langle \phi \rangle}$, $(m_{ij}^{(S,2)})_{22} = \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \phi_i^* \partial \phi_j^*} \Big|_{\phi = \langle \phi \rangle}$ ✓
 Sym. in i, j but the 2×2 matrix isn't sym. ✓
 Not necessarily as m_{ij} can be comp.

$$\Rightarrow (m_{ij}^{(S,2)})_{11} = \sum_p \{ m_{pi} + f_{pin} \phi_n \} \{ m_{pj} + f_{pjb} \phi_b^* \} \Big|_{\phi = \langle \phi \rangle} = \sum_p W_{pi}(\langle \phi \rangle) \bar{W}_{pj}(\langle \phi \rangle)$$

$$(m_{ij}^{(S,2)})_{12} = \sum_p \{ f_{pij} \} \{ m_{pa} + m_{pa} \phi_a^* + \frac{1}{2} f_{pab} \phi_a^* \phi_b^* \} \Big|_{\phi = \langle \phi \rangle} = \sum_p W_{pi}(\langle \phi \rangle) \bar{W}_{pj}^p(\langle \phi \rangle)$$

$$(m_{ij}^{(S,2)})_{21} = \sum_p \{ m_{pi} + m_{pi} \phi_i + \frac{1}{2} f_{pin} \phi_n \phi_n \} f_{pij} \Big|_{\phi = \langle \phi \rangle} = \sum_p W_p^{(S,1)} \bar{W}_{pj}^{(S,1)} \Big|_{\phi = \langle \phi \rangle} = W_{pi} \bar{W}_{pj} \quad \checkmark$$

No $\phi_i \phi_i^*$ dep. ✓
 for real

$$(m_{ij}^{(S,2)})_{22} = \sum_p \{ m_{pj} + f_{pjb} \phi_b^* \} \{ m_{pi} + f_{pia} \phi_a \} \Big|_{\phi = \langle \phi \rangle} = \sum_p W_{pj}(\langle \phi \rangle) \bar{W}_{pi}(\langle \phi \rangle)$$

coeff. ✓

b) We then find for $\text{tr } m^{(S^2)}$ that

$$\text{tr}(m^{(S^2)}) = (m^{(S^2)})_{11} + (m^{(S^2)})_{22}$$

$$= \sum_p W_{pi}(\langle \phi \rangle) \overline{W}^{pi}(\langle \phi \rangle) + \sum_p W_{pi}(\langle \phi \rangle) \overline{W}^{pi}(\langle \phi \rangle)$$

Why also trace over the i, j indices?
 e.g. $\langle W_{ki} \times \overline{W}^{kj} \rangle$
 again $N \times N$ matrices and we have to sum it's diag. entries

$$m_{ij}^{(f)} = \langle W_{ij} \rangle = W_{ij}(\langle \phi \rangle)$$

$$\Rightarrow m_{ij}^{(f)*} = (W_{ij}(\langle \phi \rangle_k))^* = W_{ij}(\langle \phi \rangle_k^*)$$

$$= \overline{W}^{ji}(\langle \phi \rangle_k)$$

$$= m_{ji}^{(f)} = m_{ij}^{(f)\dagger}$$

First the relation w/ $m m^\dagger + m^\dagger m$?

$$= \sum_p m_{pi}^{(f)} m_{pi}^{(f)*} + \sum_p m_{pi}^{(f)*} m_{pi}^{(f)}$$

$$= \sum_p m_{pi}^{(f)} m_{ip}^{(f)\dagger} + \sum_p m_{pi}^{(f)\dagger} m_{ip}^{(f)} = 2 \text{tr}(m^{(f)} m^{(f)\dagger})$$

$$= \text{tr}(m^{(f)} m^{(f)\dagger} + m^{(f)\dagger} m^{(f)})$$

But m sym. anyway?
 no, yes, here actually $(*) = \dagger$

c) Instead of the bases above, $\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_1^* \\ \phi_2 \\ \phi_2^* \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}$, we will now choose $\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \\ \vdots \\ \phi_1^* \\ \phi_2^* \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}$ st. $2N$

The matrix $m^{(S^2)} = \begin{pmatrix} (m^{(S^2)})_{11} & (m^{(S^2)})_{12} & \dots \\ (m^{(S^2)})_{21} & (m^{(S^2)})_{22} & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$ N

takes the form

$$m^{(S^2)} = \begin{pmatrix} (m^{(S^2)})_{11} & (m^{(S^2)})_{12} \\ (m^{(S^2)})_{21} & (m^{(S^2)})_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

$\uparrow N \times N$

with $(m^{(S^2)})_{ab}$ being $(m_{ij}^{(S^2)})_{ab}$ for $ij=1, \dots, N$
 which simply corresponds to a reordering (trace) of the coordinates.

then with $\langle F_i^* \rangle = -\langle W_i \rangle = 0$, we find

$$\left(m_{ij}^{(S^2)} \right)_{12} = \left(m_{ij}^{(S^2)} \right)_{21} = 0 \quad \text{as } \bar{W}^i(\langle \phi \rangle) = W_i(\langle \phi \rangle)^*$$

$$\Rightarrow m^{(S^2)} = \begin{pmatrix} (m_{ij}^{(F)})_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & (m_{ij}^{(S^2)})_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\left(m_{ij}^{(S^2)} \right)_{11} = \sum_p W p_i(\langle \phi \rangle) \bar{W} p_j(\langle \phi \rangle) = m_{p_i}^{(F)} m_{p_j}^{(F)*} \stackrel{\substack{\text{det}^* \\ \text{symm.}}}{=} \left(m^{(F)} m^{(F)T} \right)_{ij}$$

$$\left(m_{ij}^{(S^2)} \right)_{22} = \sum_p W p_j(\langle \phi \rangle) \bar{W} p_i(\langle \phi \rangle) = m_{p_j}^{(F)} m_{p_i}^{(F)*} = \left(m^{(F)} m^{(F)T} \right)_{ji}$$

where we used $\bar{W}^i(\langle \phi \rangle) = m_{ij}^{(F)*}$.

$$\text{Also } \left(m^{(F)} m^{(F)T} \right)_{ji} = \left(\left(m^{(F)} m^{(F)T} \right)^T \right)_{ij} = \left(m^{(F)T} m^{(F)} \right)_{ij}$$

$$\Rightarrow m^{(S^2)} = \begin{pmatrix} m^{(F)} & 0 \\ 0 & \left(m^{(F)} m^{(F)T} \right)^T \end{pmatrix}$$

then we find for the char. polynomial:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda &= \det \left(m^{(S^2)} - \lambda \mathbb{1} \right) = \det \left(m^{(F)} m^{(F)T} - \lambda \mathbb{1} \right) \det \left(\left(m^{(F)} m^{(F)T} \right)^T - \lambda \mathbb{1} \right) \\ &= \det \left(m^{(F)} m^{(F)T} - \lambda \mathbb{1} \right) \det \left(m^{(F)} m^{(F)T} - \lambda \mathbb{1} \right) = \det \left(m^{(F)} m^{(F)T} - \lambda \mathbb{1} \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$\det A^T = \det A$

which tells us that we have the same eigenvalues but each with multiplicity 2.

Other way around in book?

$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi_i} = 2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi_i} + 2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi_i}$

But $m^{(F)}$ should be real as well or v.e. complex?

\Rightarrow can be complex!
eg. $m_{ij}^{(F)}$ is $m_{ij}^{(F)}$ if c.c. masses for c.c. fields

2) One single left chiral superfield Φ with superpotential:

$$W = \frac{1}{2} m \Phi^2 + \frac{1}{6} f \Phi^3$$

where in class we had the general form

$$W(\Phi_i) = \ln \Phi_i + \frac{1}{2} m_{ij} \Phi_i \Phi_j + \frac{1}{3!} f_{ijk} \Phi_i \Phi_j \Phi_k$$

and

$$\mathcal{L} = \int d^4\theta \sum_i \Phi_i^\dagger \Phi_i + \left[\int d^2\theta W(\Phi_i) + \text{h.c.} \right]$$

Has to be written down in x-space as $\Phi_i^\dagger \Phi_i$ and left ch. anymore?

a) For this one left chiral field, we thus get

$$\mathcal{L} = \int d^4\theta \Phi^\dagger \Phi + \left[\int d^2\theta W(\Phi) + \text{h.c.} \right]$$

We also have

Is $[\partial_\mu]^\dagger$ der. for the ϕ terms as well? Or $(\partial_\mu)^\dagger$ is in lecture? \rightarrow Both correct, differ by a total der.

$$[\Phi_i^\dagger \Phi_k]_{\theta=0} = F_i^*(x) F_k(x) + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi_i^*(x)) [\partial^\mu] \phi_k - \frac{1}{2} \phi_i^* (\partial_\mu) (\partial^\mu \phi_k(x)) + i g_k(x) \sigma^\mu [\partial_\mu] \bar{\eta}_i(x)$$

$$[\Phi_1 \Phi_2]_{\theta=0} = \phi_1(x) \phi_2(x) + \phi_2(x) F_1(x) - \eta_1 \eta_2$$

$$[\Phi_1 \Phi_2 \Phi_3]_{\theta=0} = F_1 \phi_2 \phi_3 + F_2 \phi_1 \phi_3 + F_3 \phi_1 \phi_2 - \eta_1 \eta_2 \phi_3 - \eta_2 \eta_3 \phi_1 - \eta_3 \eta_1 \phi_2$$

and thus find:

$$\mathcal{L} = F^*(x) F(x) + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi^*(x)) [\partial^\mu] \phi(x) - \frac{1}{2} \phi^*(x) (\partial_\mu) (\partial^\mu \phi(x)) + i \eta(x) \sigma^\mu [\partial_\mu] \bar{\eta}(x)$$

M and f real as well (+sym.)? no in general can be complex; here need to be assumed real, so can write as Dirac spinor

$$+ \frac{1}{2} m \left\{ \phi_1(x) F_1(x) + \phi_2(x) F_2(x) - \eta_1 \eta_2 \right\} + \frac{1}{6} f \left\{ F \phi \phi + F \phi \phi + F \phi \phi - \eta_1 \eta_2 \phi - \eta_1 \eta_2 \phi - \eta_1 \eta_2 \phi \right\}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} m \left\{ \phi^*(x) F^*(x) + \phi^*(x) F^*(x) - \bar{\eta} \bar{\eta} \right\} + \frac{1}{6} f \left\{ F^* \phi^* \phi^* + F^* \phi^* \phi^* + F^* \phi^* \phi^* - \bar{\eta} \bar{\eta} \phi^* - \bar{\eta} \bar{\eta} \phi^* - \bar{\eta} \bar{\eta} \phi^* \right\}$$

$$= F(x)F(x) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi^*(x) [\partial^\mu] \phi(x) - \frac{1}{2} \phi^*(x) [\partial_\mu] \partial^\mu \phi(x)$$

$$+ i \eta(x) \sigma [\partial_\mu] \bar{\xi}(x)$$

$$+ m \left\{ \phi(x) F(x) - \frac{1}{2} \eta \bar{\eta} \right\} + \frac{1}{2} f \left\{ F(x) \phi(x) \phi(x) - \eta(x) \eta(x) \phi(x) \right\}$$

$$+ m \left\{ \phi^*(x) F^*(x) - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\eta} \bar{\eta} \right\} + \frac{1}{2} f \left\{ F^*(x) \phi^*(x) \phi^*(x) - \bar{\eta}(x) \bar{\eta}(x) \phi^*(x) \right\}$$

b) Have $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial F^*} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial F} = 0$ as F and F^* don't propagate

$$0 = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial F^*} = F(x) + m \phi^*(x) + \frac{1}{2} f \phi^*(x) \phi^*(x) \implies F(x) = -m \phi^*(x) - \frac{1}{2} f \phi^*(x) \phi^*(x)$$

$$F^*(x) = -m \phi(x) - \frac{1}{2} f \phi(x) \phi(x)$$

analogously

$$\begin{aligned} \implies \mathcal{L} &= \left\{ m \phi(x) + \frac{1}{2} f \phi(x) \phi(x) \right\} \left\{ m \phi^*(x) + \frac{1}{2} f \phi^*(x) \phi^*(x) \right\} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi^*(x) [\partial^\mu] \phi(x) - \frac{1}{2} \phi^*(x) [\partial_\mu] \partial^\mu \phi(x) + i \eta(x) \sigma [\partial_\mu] \bar{\xi}(x) \\ &- m \phi(x) \left\{ m \phi^*(x) + \frac{1}{2} f \phi^*(x) \phi^*(x) \right\} - \frac{m}{2} \eta \bar{\eta}(x) \\ &= \frac{f}{2} \phi(x) \phi(x) \left\{ m \phi^*(x) + \frac{1}{2} f \phi^*(x) \phi^*(x) \right\} - \frac{f}{2} \eta(x) \eta(x) \phi(x) \\ &- m \phi^*(x) \left\{ m \phi(x) + \frac{1}{2} f \phi(x) \phi(x) \right\} - \frac{m}{2} \bar{\eta}(x) \bar{\eta}(x) \\ &- \frac{f}{2} \phi^*(x) \phi^*(x) \left\{ m \phi(x) + \frac{1}{2} f \phi(x) \phi(x) \right\} - \frac{f}{2} \bar{\eta}(x) \bar{\eta}(x) \phi^*(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi^*(x) [\partial^\mu] \phi(x) - \frac{1}{2} \phi^*(x) [\partial_\mu] \partial^\mu \phi(x) + \underbrace{i \eta(x) \sigma [\partial_\mu] \bar{\xi}(x)}_{(1)} \\ &- m^2 \phi^*(x) \phi(x) - \frac{1}{4} f^2 \phi(x) \phi(x) \phi^*(x) \phi^*(x) \\ &- \frac{mf}{2} \phi^*(x) \phi(x) \phi(x) - \frac{mf}{2} \phi(x) \phi^*(x) \phi^*(x) \\ &- \underbrace{\frac{m}{2} \eta(x) \eta(x)}_{(2)} - \underbrace{\frac{m}{2} \bar{\eta}(x) \bar{\eta}(x)}_{(3)} - \frac{f}{2} \eta(x) \eta(x) \phi(x) - \frac{f}{2} \bar{\eta}(x) \bar{\eta}(x) \phi^*(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$x) \frac{1}{2} \phi^* \partial \phi ?$$

$$= \partial \phi \partial \phi^*$$

c) Now we will translate this into 4-comp spinors, i.e. use a Majorana spinor ψ_M with the Weyl spinor ψ and its conjugate

$$\psi_{\pm M} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_A \\ \bar{\psi}^{\dot{A}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{\psi}_{\pm M} = (\psi^A, \bar{\psi}_{\dot{A}})$$

$$\hat{=} \psi_{\pm M} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_V \\ \bar{\psi}^{\dot{V}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{\psi}_{\pm M} = (\psi^{\dot{V}}, \bar{\psi}_V)$$

then: (1) $= i\psi(x)\sigma^\mu[\partial_\mu]\bar{\psi}(x) = \frac{i}{2}\psi(x)\sigma^\mu\partial_\mu\bar{\psi}(x) - \frac{i}{2}\partial_\mu\psi(x)\sigma^\mu\bar{\psi}(x)$

$$= \frac{i}{2}\{\psi(x)\sigma^\mu\partial_\mu\bar{\psi}(x) - \partial_\mu\psi(x)\sigma^\mu\bar{\psi}(x)\}$$

$$= \frac{i}{2}\{\psi(x)\sigma^\mu\partial_\mu\bar{\psi}(x) + \bar{\psi}(x)\sigma^\mu\partial_\mu\psi(x)\}$$

$$\stackrel{(I.13c)}{=} \frac{i}{2}\{\bar{\psi}_{\pm M}\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\psi_{\pm M}\}$$

(2) $= -\frac{m}{2}\{\psi(x)\psi(x) + \bar{\psi}(x)\bar{\psi}(x)\}$

$$\stackrel{(I.13a)}{=} -\frac{m}{2}\{\bar{\psi}_{\pm M}\psi_{\pm M}\}$$

(3) $= -\frac{f}{2}\{\psi(x)\psi(x)\phi(x) + \bar{\psi}(x)\bar{\psi}(x)\phi^*(x)\}$

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} (I.13a) + (I.13b) \Rightarrow 2\lambda_1\lambda_2 = \lambda_{im}(1+\gamma_5)\lambda_{2m} \\ \Rightarrow \lambda_1\lambda_2 = \lambda_{im} P_R \lambda_{2m} \end{array} \right.$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_1\lambda_2 = \lambda_{im} P_R \lambda_{2m}$$

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} (I.13a) - (I.13b) \Rightarrow \lambda_1\lambda_2 = \lambda_{im} P_L \lambda_{2m} \end{array} \right.$$

$$= -\frac{f}{2}\{\bar{\psi}_{\pm M} P_L \psi_{\pm M} \phi(x) + \bar{\psi}_{\pm M} P_R \psi_{\pm M} \phi^*(x)\}$$

$$P_L^+ = P_R$$

$$P_R^+ = P_L$$

$$= -\frac{f}{2}\{\bar{\psi}_{\pm M R} \psi_{\pm M L} \phi(x) + \bar{\psi}_{\pm M L} \psi_{\pm M R} \phi^*(x)\}$$

$$\bar{\psi}_{\pm M R}^+ = \bar{\psi}_{\pm M L}$$

$$= -\frac{f}{2}\{\bar{\psi}_{\pm M R} \psi_{\pm M L} \phi(x) + h.c.\}$$

Derivative on one of the ψ 's is actual new field? Otherwise identity not possible? Or w/ $\psi_{\pm M} = \psi_{\pm M}^*$? \Rightarrow new field, define $\psi_{\pm M} = \psi_{\pm M}^*$