

## Disclaimer

The solution at hand was written in the course of the respective class at the University of Bonn. If not stated differently on top of the first page or the following website, the solution was prepared and handed in solely by me, Marvin Zanke. Anything in a different color than the ball pen blue is usually a correction that I or a tutor made. For more information and all my material, check:

<https://www.physics-and-stuff.com/>

**I raise no claim to correctness and completeness of the given solutions! This equally applies to the corrections mentioned above.**

This work by [Marvin Zanke](#) is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License](#).

20.04.2018

H6) a) want to prove:  $R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu;\lambda} + R_{\alpha\beta\lambda\nu;\mu} + R_{\alpha\beta\nu\lambda;\mu} \stackrel{!}{=} 0$

5/5

Why is this locally inertial coord. system valid? Isn't it just in normal Riemann coord. like this?

2 We recall that e.g.

$$R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu;\lambda} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\lambda} R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} - R_{\epsilon\beta\mu\nu} \Gamma_{\alpha\lambda}^\epsilon - R_{\alpha\epsilon\mu\nu} \Gamma_{\beta\lambda}^\epsilon - R_{\alpha\beta\epsilon\nu} \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^\epsilon - R_{\alpha\beta\mu\epsilon} \Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^\epsilon$$

where we left out the explicit  $x$  dependence of the Riemann-tensor  $R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}(x)$ .

We now transform to a locally inertial coordinate system for the fixed  $x$ , we want to prove the identity for. This will then be valid for all  $x$ , as  $x$  is arbitrary. In this frame, the Christoffel-symbols vanish at the origin, i.e.  $\Gamma_{\beta\lambda}^\alpha(0) = 0$ , while the derivative does not necessarily have to vanish,  $\Gamma_{\beta\lambda;\mu}^\alpha(0) \neq 0$

It then suffices to prove  $R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu;\lambda} + R_{\alpha\beta\lambda\nu;\mu} + R_{\alpha\beta\nu\lambda;\mu} \stackrel{!}{=} 0$  as all other terms vanish. We will give two proofs for this:

What is it pictorially here  $\Gamma(0) = 0$ ? and why not possible to also have  $\Gamma_{\beta\lambda;\mu}^\alpha = 0$ ?

Because you can make a coordinate transform which  $g$  and

First: 
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\lambda} R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} R_{\alpha\beta\lambda\mu} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} R_{\alpha\beta\nu\lambda}$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\lambda} (g_{\alpha\epsilon} R_{\beta\mu\nu}^\epsilon) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} (g_{\alpha\epsilon} R_{\beta\lambda\mu}^\epsilon) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} (g_{\alpha\epsilon} R_{\beta\nu\lambda}^\epsilon)$$

$\partial g$  vanishes

$$R_{\beta\mu\nu}^\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\lambda} \Gamma_{\beta\nu}^\alpha - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \Gamma_{\beta\mu}^\alpha + \Gamma_{\lambda\mu}^\alpha \Gamma_{\beta\nu}^\lambda - \Gamma_{\lambda\nu}^\alpha \Gamma_{\beta\mu}^\lambda$$

locally inertial coord.  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\lambda} \Gamma_{\beta\nu}^\alpha - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \Gamma_{\beta\mu}^\alpha$

But you cannot find one in which  $g, \partial g, \partial^2 g$  all vanish,  $\therefore \partial \Gamma \neq 0$ .

$$= g_{\alpha\epsilon;\lambda} R_{\beta\mu\nu}^\epsilon + g_{\alpha\epsilon;\nu} R_{\beta\lambda\mu}^\epsilon + g_{\alpha\epsilon;\mu} R_{\beta\nu\lambda}^\epsilon$$

$$+ g_{\alpha\epsilon} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\lambda} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \Gamma_{\beta\nu}^\epsilon - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \Gamma_{\beta\mu}^\epsilon \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\lambda} \Gamma_{\beta\mu}^\epsilon - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \Gamma_{\beta\lambda}^\epsilon \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \Gamma_{\beta\lambda}^\epsilon - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\lambda} \Gamma_{\beta\nu}^\epsilon \right) \right\}$$

$$= g_{\alpha\epsilon;\lambda} R_{\beta\mu\nu}^\epsilon + g_{\alpha\epsilon;\nu} R_{\beta\lambda\mu}^\epsilon + g_{\alpha\epsilon;\mu} R_{\beta\nu\lambda}^\epsilon$$

$$g_{\alpha\beta;\mu} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} g_{\alpha\beta} - g_{\epsilon\beta} \Gamma_{\alpha\mu}^\epsilon - g_{\alpha\epsilon} \Gamma_{\beta\mu}^\epsilon \stackrel{\text{sheet 1}}{=} 0 \stackrel{\text{inertial coord.}}{=} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} g_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\alpha\beta;\mu}$$

$$= 0$$



The second proof uses the result of (H5d), namely:

$$R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\alpha \partial x^\mu} g_{\alpha\nu} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\alpha \partial x^\nu} g_{\beta\mu} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\beta \partial x^\mu} g_{\alpha\nu} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\alpha \partial x^\nu} g_{\beta\mu} \right. \\ \left. + g_{\lambda\sigma} \left\{ \Gamma_{\beta\mu}^\lambda \Gamma_{\alpha\nu}^\sigma - \Gamma_{\beta\nu}^\lambda \Gamma_{\alpha\mu}^\sigma \right\} \right\}$$

$= 0$  in locally inertial coord. system

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\lambda} R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} R_{\alpha\beta\mu\lambda} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} R_{\alpha\beta\nu\lambda}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\lambda} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\alpha \partial x^\mu} g_{\alpha\nu} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\alpha \partial x^\nu} g_{\beta\mu} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\beta \partial x^\mu} g_{\alpha\nu} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\alpha \partial x^\nu} g_{\beta\mu} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\alpha \partial x^\mu} g_{\alpha\lambda} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\alpha \partial x^\lambda} g_{\beta\mu} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\beta \partial x^\mu} g_{\alpha\lambda} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\alpha \partial x^\lambda} g_{\beta\mu} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\alpha \partial x^\nu} g_{\alpha\lambda} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\alpha \partial x^\lambda} g_{\beta\nu} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\beta \partial x^\nu} g_{\alpha\lambda} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\alpha \partial x^\lambda} g_{\beta\nu} \right) \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^\lambda \partial x^\alpha \partial x^\mu} g_{\alpha\nu} + \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^\lambda \partial x^\alpha \partial x^\nu} g_{\beta\mu} - \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^\lambda \partial x^\beta \partial x^\mu} g_{\alpha\nu} - \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^\lambda \partial x^\alpha \partial x^\nu} g_{\beta\mu} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^\nu \partial x^\alpha \partial x^\mu} g_{\alpha\lambda} + \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^\nu \partial x^\alpha \partial x^\lambda} g_{\beta\mu} - \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^\nu \partial x^\beta \partial x^\mu} g_{\alpha\lambda} - \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^\nu \partial x^\alpha \partial x^\lambda} g_{\beta\mu} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^\mu \partial x^\alpha \partial x^\nu} g_{\alpha\lambda} + \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^\mu \partial x^\alpha \partial x^\lambda} g_{\beta\nu} - \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^\mu \partial x^\beta \partial x^\nu} g_{\alpha\lambda} - \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^\mu \partial x^\alpha \partial x^\lambda} g_{\beta\nu} \right\}$$

$= 0$

Proof  
Both correct?  
Yes!

But when you take derivative, you will have  $\partial R$  terms. But only with a  $R$  term. ie  $\partial(RR) = (\partial R)R + R(\partial R)$ .

therefore this term will not appear in the derivative.

But already set to zero before? If I had not, the  $\partial R \neq 0$ ?



b) Equation (7) states  $G^{\mu\nu}_{; \mu} = 0$ , where

$$G^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\alpha} g^{\nu\beta} R_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} R$$

$$R_{\alpha\beta} = R_{\alpha\mu\beta}{}^{\mu} \text{ and } R = g^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu}$$

3

Sheet 2: "all the other elem. tensor of. commute w/  $\nabla$ "  
 → But raising/lowering only iff  $\nabla g = 0$  for  $g$  the metric?

Using that for the covariant derivative  $(\nabla w)_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_k}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_k} = w_{\mu_2 \dots \mu_k}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_k}$ , and thus will pull the metric inside covariant derivatives in this exercise, making use of  $0 = g_{\alpha\mu}{}^{; \mu} = (\nabla g)_{\alpha\mu}$ . We will give two proofs for this:

Yes, But ~~Recommendations~~ ~~→  $\nabla g = 0$~~

Change back to other coord. w/ covariant derivative now, i.e.  $\Gamma(\omega)$  to?

First: from a), we know the differential BIANCHI identity:

$$R_{\beta\mu\nu;\alpha} + R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} + R_{\alpha\mu\nu\beta} = 0 \quad | \times g^{\alpha\mu} \text{ (summation included)}$$

Yes. Just a coordinate transform.

$$\Leftrightarrow R^{\mu}{}_{\beta\mu\nu;\alpha} - R^{\mu}{}_{\alpha\mu\nu;\beta} + g^{\alpha\mu} R_{\alpha\mu\nu\beta} = 0$$

$R^{\mu}{}_{\beta\mu\nu;\alpha} = \frac{\partial^2 g_{\beta\mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha} \partial x^{\nu}} - \frac{\partial^2 g_{\beta\mu}}{\partial x^{\nu} \partial x^{\alpha}}$  as well as only for different indices?

where we used  $R^{\mu}{}_{\beta\mu\nu;\alpha} = g^{\alpha\mu} R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$  and the (anti-)sym. of  $R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$

$g_{\beta\mu;\alpha}$  doesn't exist. Never have same index at low.

$$\Leftrightarrow R_{\beta\nu;\alpha} - R_{\beta\alpha;\nu} + g^{\alpha\mu} R_{\alpha\mu\nu\beta} = 0 \quad | \times g^{\beta\alpha} \text{ ( " " )}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow g^{\beta\alpha} R_{\beta\nu;\alpha} - R_{\nu\alpha} + g^{\alpha\mu} R_{\alpha\mu\nu\beta} = 0$$

But  $g^{\beta\alpha}{}_{; \alpha} = 0$  Yes.

using  $R = g^{\beta\alpha} R_{\beta\alpha}$

$$\Leftrightarrow g^{\beta\alpha} R_{\beta\nu;\alpha} - R_{\nu\alpha} + g^{\beta\alpha} R_{\beta\nu;\alpha} = 0$$

by renaming  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta, \mu \rightarrow \lambda$  in last term

$$\Leftrightarrow g^{\beta\alpha} R_{\beta\nu;\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} R_{\nu\alpha} \quad | \times g^{\nu\alpha}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow g^{\beta\alpha} g^{\nu\lambda} R_{\beta\nu;\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\nu\alpha} R_{\nu\alpha} \quad | \text{ rename } \nu \leftrightarrow \lambda \text{ on r.h.s.}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow g^{\beta\alpha} g^{\nu\lambda} R_{\beta\nu;\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\lambda\alpha} R_{\lambda\alpha}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (g^{\beta\alpha} g^{\nu\lambda} R_{\beta\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\lambda\alpha} R)_{;\alpha} = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow G^{\lambda\alpha}{}_{;\alpha} = 0$$

✓



# Alternative (easier?!) proof:

$$G_{\mu\nu} = (g^{\mu\alpha} g^{\nu\beta} R_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} R)_{,\rho}$$

$$= g^{\mu\alpha} g^{\nu\beta} R_{\alpha\beta\rho} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} R_{,\rho}$$

$$= g^{\mu\alpha} g^{\nu\beta} R_{\alpha\beta\rho} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} g^{\beta\lambda} R_{\beta\lambda\rho}$$

$$\left| -\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} g^{\beta\lambda} R_{\beta\lambda\rho} = -\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} g^{\beta\lambda} R^{\epsilon}{}_{\beta\epsilon\lambda\rho} = -\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} g^{\beta\lambda} g^{\epsilon\delta} R_{\beta\epsilon\lambda\rho}$$

$$\left| = -\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} g^{\beta\lambda} g^{\epsilon\delta} (-R_{\beta\rho\epsilon\lambda} - R_{\beta\lambda\rho\epsilon})$$

(Anti-Sym of R<sub>abcd</sub>)

$$= -\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} g^{\beta\lambda} R^{\epsilon}{}_{\beta\epsilon\lambda\rho} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} g^{\epsilon\delta} R_{\beta\lambda\rho\epsilon}$$

$$\left| = -\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \left\{ g^{\beta\lambda} R_{\beta\lambda\rho} + g^{\epsilon\delta} R_{\rho\lambda\epsilon\beta} \right\}$$

rename

$$= -g^{\mu\nu} g^{\beta\lambda} R_{\beta\lambda\rho}$$

$$= g^{\mu\alpha} g^{\nu\beta} R_{\alpha\beta\rho} - g^{\mu\nu} g^{\beta\lambda} R_{\beta\lambda\rho}$$

rename for rhs:  $\beta \rightarrow \alpha, \lambda \rightarrow \mu, \mu \rightarrow \beta$

$$= g^{\mu\alpha} g^{\nu\beta} R_{\alpha\beta\rho} - g^{\beta\nu} g^{\alpha\mu} R_{\alpha\beta\rho} = 0$$