

Disclaimer

The solution at hand was written in the course of the respective class at the University of Bonn. If not stated differently on top of the first page or the following website, the solution was prepared and handed in solely by me, Marvin Zanke. Anything in a different color than the ball pen blue is usually a correction that I or a tutor made. For more information and all my material, check:

<https://www.physics-and-stuff.com/>

I raise no claim to correctness and completeness of the given solutions! This equally applies to the corrections mentioned above.

This work by [Marvin Zanke](#) is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License](#).

$$\text{H1) } \mathcal{L}_{\text{em}} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}(x) F^{\mu\nu}(x) \quad \text{with} \quad F_{\mu\nu}(x) = \partial_\mu A_\nu(x) - \partial_\nu A_\mu(x)$$

$\stackrel{(*)}{=} -\frac{1}{4} F^{\mu\nu}(x) F_{\mu\nu}(x)$

a) Euler-Lagrange equations, variation in $A_\mu(x)$

What is $\frac{\delta h}{\delta(\dots)}$?

Not a regular derivative?
generalization

You can look into functional derivatives works the same

Do we get $K=0, -3$?
Questions there? ✓

$$0 = \partial_\lambda \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta (\partial_\lambda A_\mu)} - \underbrace{\frac{\delta h}{\delta A_\mu}}$$

$= 0$, as $F_{\mu\nu}(x)$ doesn't depend on $A_\mu(x)$ explicitly!

$$\begin{aligned} \stackrel{(*)}{=} & \partial_\lambda \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} S_{\mu\lambda} S_{\nu\lambda} (\partial^\mu A^\nu(x) - \partial^\nu A^\mu(x)) \right. \\ & + \frac{1}{4} S_{\nu\lambda} S_{\mu\lambda} (\partial^\mu A^\nu(x) - \partial^\nu A^\mu(x)) \\ (\text{alternatively, using the metric tensor twice}) & - \frac{1}{4} S_{\mu\lambda} S_{\nu\lambda} (\partial^\mu A^\nu(x) - \partial^\nu A^\mu(x)) \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{4} S_{\nu\lambda} S_{\mu\lambda} (\partial^\mu A^\nu(x) - \partial^\nu A^\mu(x)) \right\} \\ = & \frac{1}{4} \partial_\lambda \left\{ -\overbrace{\partial^\lambda A^k(x)}^{\partial_\mu \partial_\nu = \partial_\nu \partial_\mu} + \overbrace{\partial^k A^\lambda(x)}^{\text{antisym.}} + \overbrace{\partial^\lambda A^k(x)}^{\text{sym.}} - \overbrace{\partial^k A^\lambda(x)}^{\partial_\mu \partial_\nu = \partial_\nu \partial_\mu} \right. \\ & \left. - \overbrace{\partial^k A^\lambda(x)}^{\text{antisym.}} + \overbrace{\partial^\lambda A^k(x)}^{\text{sym.}} - \overbrace{\partial^k A^\lambda(x)}^{\partial_\mu \partial_\nu = \partial_\nu \partial_\mu} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Schwarz} \stackrel{!}{=} \partial_\lambda (\partial^\lambda A^k(x) - \partial^k A^\lambda(x)) = \partial^k (\partial A(x)) - \partial^2 (A^k(x))$$

Where $\partial f := \partial_\mu f^\mu$ ✓

$$\text{b) } \partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu}(x) \stackrel{!}{=} \partial_\mu \{ \partial^\mu A^\nu(x) - \partial^\nu A^\mu(x) \} = \partial^2 A^\nu(x) - \partial^\nu (\partial A)(x) \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

With $F^{\mu\nu} := \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\gamma} F_{\lambda\gamma}(x)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu}(x) &= \partial_\mu \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\gamma} F_{\lambda\gamma}(x) \right\} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\gamma} \partial_\mu \{ \partial_\lambda A_\gamma(x) - \partial_\gamma A_\lambda(x) \} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\gamma} \cdot \partial_\mu \partial_\lambda A_\gamma(x) + \frac{1}{4} \underbrace{\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\gamma}}_{\text{antisym.}} \underbrace{\partial_\lambda \partial_\mu A_\gamma(x)}_{\text{symmetric}} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\gamma} \partial_\mu \partial_\gamma A_\lambda(x) - \frac{1}{4} \underbrace{\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\gamma}}_{\text{antisym.}} \underbrace{\partial_\gamma \partial_\mu A_\lambda(x)}_{\text{sym.}} \\ &= 0 \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

Didn't use field equations here?

True

c) $L_{\text{tot}} = D_\mu \phi^*(x) D^\mu \phi(x) - m^2 \phi^*(x) \phi(x) - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}(x) F^{\mu\nu}(x)$

with D_μ only acting on $\phi^*(x)$ etc.

$$= \left\{ (\partial_\mu - i A_\mu(x)) \phi^*(x) \right\} \left\{ \partial^\mu + i A^\mu(x) \phi(x) \right\} - m^2 \phi^*(x) \phi(x) - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}(x) F^{\mu\nu}(x)$$

Varying with respect to $A_\mu(x)$ once more yields:

$$0 = \partial_\lambda \frac{\delta L}{\delta (\partial_\lambda A_\mu)} - \frac{\delta L}{\delta A_\lambda}$$

again using

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\delta L}{\delta (\partial_\lambda A_\mu)} = D_\lambda \phi^*(x) D_\mu \phi(x) \\ & = D_\lambda \phi^*(x) D_\mu \phi(x) \Rightarrow \underbrace{\partial_\lambda \left\{ -\partial^\lambda A^k(x) + \partial^k A^\lambda(x) \right\}}_{\text{from a), no new } (\partial_\lambda A_\lambda)(x)} - \left\{ S_{\mu k} (-ie) \phi^*(x) D^\mu \phi(x) + S_{\mu k} (ie) D_\mu \phi^*(x) \phi(x) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & = \underbrace{\partial^\lambda (\partial_\lambda A(x)) - \partial^2 A^k(x)}_{= \partial_\lambda F^{k\lambda}(x)} - ie \left\{ (D_k \phi^*(x)) \phi(x) - \phi^*(x) (D^k \phi(x)) \right\} \\ & = \partial_\lambda F^{k\lambda}(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \partial_\lambda F^{k\lambda}(x) = ie \left\{ \phi(x) D_k \phi^*(x) - \phi^*(x) D^k \phi(x) \right\} =: -e J^k(x)$$

using $J^k(x) := i (\phi^*(x) D^k \phi(x) - \phi(x) D^k \phi^*(x))$

Put $\phi(x)$ to the front?

why? LHS

\downarrow e

Question 3
commute here?

d) $L = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}(x) F^{\mu\nu}(x) + \frac{m^2}{2} B_\mu(x) B^\mu(x)$ with $F_{\mu\nu}(x) = \partial_\mu B_\nu(x) - \partial_\nu B_\mu(x)$

$$0 = \partial_\lambda \frac{\delta L}{\delta (\partial_\lambda B_\mu)} - \frac{\delta L}{\delta B_\lambda} = \underbrace{-\partial^2 B_\lambda^\mu + \partial^\lambda (\partial_\lambda B(x))}_{\text{from a), analogue}} - \frac{m^2}{2} (B^\lambda - 2)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \partial^2 B^\lambda(x) - \partial^\lambda (\partial_\lambda B(x)) + m^2 B^\lambda(x) = 0 \quad \checkmark$$

What is this massive vector field?

/

so far
it's just
a toy-model
an example

a massive
~~mass~~ Vector-
Field

would be the
same bosons
of $SU(2)$
but $m \neq 0$

e) Applying ∂_k to both sides of d) (which is a sum of derivatives for
of the same equation in the end) yields:

$$\stackrel{(d)}{\Rightarrow} \partial_k \left\{ \partial^2 B^\lambda(x) - \partial^\lambda (\partial_\lambda B(x)) + m^2 B^\lambda(x) \right\} = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \partial^2 (\partial_\lambda B(x)) - \partial^2 (\partial_\lambda B(x)) + m^2 \partial_k B^\lambda(x) = 0 = m^2 \partial_k B^\lambda(x)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \partial_k B^\lambda(x) = 0 \quad \checkmark$$

and using d), we get: $\partial^2 B^\lambda(x) - \partial^\lambda (\partial_\lambda B(x)) + m^2 B^\lambda(x) = 0$

$$= \partial^2 B^\lambda(x) + m^2 B^\lambda(x) = (\partial^2 + m^2) B^\lambda(x) \quad \checkmark$$

ask me

again in
class. Answer
is to long to
write down.

where is this
help from?
We already know what does
this from a) it mean?