

Disclaimer

The solution at hand was written in the course of the respective class at the University of Bonn. If not stated differently on top of the first page or the following website, the solution was prepared and handed in solely by me, Marvin Zanke. Anything in a different color than the ball pen blue is usually a correction that I or a tutor made. For more information and all my material, check:

<https://www.physics-and-stuff.com/>

I raise no claim to correctness and completeness of the given solutions! This equally applies to the corrections mentioned above.

This work by [Marvin Zanke](#) is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License](#).

String Theory Exercise 10 Homework

Martin Zauke

13.12.2018 1.1.

38
38

already N.O.

2d N=1 S.S.?

→ 2dim S.S.
↓ low Super-charge (from TFF)

SUGRA SCFT?

why $\alpha' = 2$?

WS Super-Currents?

why the $\partial_z \psi^{\mu}$ der. with respect to w here?

N.O. also regular

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(z) \psi^{\mu}(w) &= \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} : \partial X^{\mu}(z) \partial X^{\mu}(z) : -\frac{1}{2} : \psi^{\nu}(z) \partial \psi_{\nu}(z) : \right\} \\
 &= -\frac{1}{2} : \psi^{\nu}(z) \partial \psi_{\nu}(z) : \psi^{\mu}(w) \\
 &= -\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \text{N.O.} - \langle \psi^{\nu}(z) \psi^{\mu}(w) \rangle : \partial \psi_{\nu}(z) : \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \langle \partial \psi^{\nu}(z) \psi^{\mu}(w) \rangle : \psi_{\nu}(z) : \right\} \\
 &= -\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \text{N.O.} - \eta^{\mu\nu} \frac{1}{z-w} : \partial \psi_{\nu}(z) : \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \eta^{\mu\nu} \frac{1}{(z-w)^2} : \psi_{\nu}(z) : \right\} \\
 &= \frac{1/2}{(z-w)^2} \psi^{\mu}(z) + \frac{1/2}{z-w} \partial \psi^{\mu}(z) + \text{N.O.} \\
 &= \frac{1/2}{(z-w)^2} \left\{ \psi^{\mu}(w) + \partial_w \psi^{\mu}(w) (z-w) + \text{reg.} \right\} \\
 &\quad + \frac{1/2}{z-w} \left\{ \partial \psi^{\mu}(w) + \text{reg.} \right\} + \text{N.O.} \\
 &= \frac{1/2}{(z-w)^2} \cancel{\psi^{\mu}(w)} + \frac{\partial_w \psi^{\mu}(w)}{z-w} + \text{reg.}
 \end{aligned}$$

As $T(z)$ only contains fields X and ψ , we find $(L_n) = 0$

But the dominant term should still be there?

→ is there but vanishes as $\frac{\partial_w \psi^{\mu}(w)}{z-w} = 0$

Now

$$T(z) T_F(w) = -\frac{i}{4} \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \partial X^\mu(z) \partial X_\mu(w) : + : \zeta^\mu(z) \partial \zeta_\mu(w) : \\ & \times : \zeta^\nu(w) \partial X_\nu(w) : \end{aligned} \right\}$$

$$= -\frac{i}{4} \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \text{N.O.} + \langle \partial X^\mu(z) \zeta^\nu(w) \rangle \langle \partial X_\mu(z) \partial X_\nu(w) \rangle \\ & + \langle \partial X^\mu(z) \partial X^\nu(w) \rangle \langle \partial X_\mu(z) \zeta_\nu(w) \rangle \\ & + \langle \partial X^\mu(z) \zeta^\nu(w) \rangle : \partial X_\mu(z) \partial X_\nu(w) : \\ & + \langle \partial X_\mu(z) \partial X_\nu(w) \rangle : \partial X^\mu(z) \zeta^\nu(w) : \\ & + \langle \partial X^\mu(z) \partial X^\nu(w) \rangle : \partial X_\mu(z) \zeta_\nu(w) : \\ & + \langle \partial X_\mu(z) \zeta_\nu(w) \rangle : \partial X^\mu(z) \partial X^\nu(w) : \end{aligned} \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & - \langle \zeta^\mu(z) \zeta^\nu(w) \rangle \langle \partial \zeta_\mu(z) \partial X_\nu(w) \rangle \\ & + \langle \zeta^\mu(z) \partial X^\nu(w) \rangle \langle \partial \zeta_\mu(z) \zeta_\nu(w) \rangle \\ & - \langle \zeta^\mu(z) \zeta^\nu(w) \rangle : \partial \zeta_\mu(z) \partial X_\nu(w) : \\ & + \langle \partial \zeta_\mu(z) \partial X_\nu(z) \rangle : \zeta^\mu(z) \zeta^\nu(w) : \\ & + \langle \zeta^\mu(z) \partial X^\nu(w) \rangle : \partial \zeta_\mu(z) \zeta_\nu(w) : \\ & + \langle \partial \zeta_\mu(z) \zeta_\nu(w) \rangle : \zeta^\mu(z) \partial X^\nu(w) : \end{aligned}$$

do commute
 $\zeta^\nu(w)$ w/
 $\partial X_\nu(w)$ not

Also need
 - to anticommute
 show if take
 only one prop.
 and the other
 still N.O.?

$$= -\frac{i}{4} \left\{ \begin{aligned} & -\eta^{\mu\nu} \frac{2}{(z-w)^2} : \partial X_\mu(z) \zeta_\nu(w) : - \eta^{\mu\nu} \frac{1}{z-w} : \partial \zeta_\mu(z) \partial X_\nu(w) : \\ & - \eta^{\mu\nu} \frac{1}{(z-w)^2} : \zeta_\mu(z) \partial X_\nu(w) : \end{aligned} \right\}$$

$$= \frac{i}{4} \eta^{\mu\nu} \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \frac{2}{(z-w)^2} (\partial X_\mu(z) \zeta_\nu(w) + \partial^2 X_\mu(z) \zeta_\nu(w) (z-w) + \text{reg.}) \\ & + \frac{1}{z-w} (\partial \zeta_\mu(z) \partial X_\nu(w) + \text{reg.}) \\ & + \frac{1}{(z-w)^2} (\zeta_\mu(z) \partial X_\nu(w) + \partial \zeta_\mu(z) \partial X_\nu(w) (z-w) + \text{reg.}) \end{aligned} \right\}$$

$$= \frac{i}{4} \eta^{\mu\nu} \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(z-w)^2} (2 \partial X_\mu(z) \zeta_\nu(w) + \zeta_\mu(z) \partial X_\nu(w)) \\ & + \frac{1}{(z-w)} (2 \partial^2 X_\mu(z) \zeta_\nu(w) + \partial \zeta_\mu(z) \partial X_\nu(w) + \partial \zeta_\mu(z) \partial X_\nu(w)) \end{aligned} \right\}$$

$$= \frac{3/2}{(z-w)^2} T_F(w) + \frac{\partial T_F(w)}{z-w} + \text{reg.}$$

1-2:

$$\delta_\epsilon X(z) = - [T_{Fe}, X(z)] = - \oint_C \frac{dw}{2\pi i} [E(w) T_F(w), X(z)]$$

Connection
between call
and super call
profits?

$$= \oint_C \frac{dw}{2\pi i} R(E(w) T_F(w) X(z))$$

$$T_F(w) X(z) = \frac{i}{2} : \mathcal{Z}_F(w) \partial X_F(w) : X^V(z)$$

$$= \frac{i}{2} \left\langle \partial X^V(w) X^V(z) \right\rangle \mathcal{Z}_F(w)$$

$$= -\frac{i}{2} \eta^{\mu\nu} \frac{1}{w-z} \mathcal{Z}_F(w)$$

$$= \frac{i}{2} \oint_C \frac{dw}{2\pi i} E(w) \frac{1}{w-z} \mathcal{Z}_F(w)$$

Cauchy-
Riemann
formula

$$\downarrow = \frac{i}{2} E(z) \mathcal{Z}_F(z)$$

$$\delta_\epsilon \mathcal{Z}_F(z) = - [T_{Fe}, \mathcal{Z}_F(z)] = - \oint_C \frac{dw}{2\pi i} [E(w) T_F(w), \mathcal{Z}_F(z)]$$

$$= - \oint_C \frac{dw}{2\pi i} R(E(w) T_F(w) \mathcal{Z}_F(z))$$

$$T_F(w) \mathcal{Z}_F(z) = \frac{i}{2} : \mathcal{Z}_F^V(w) \partial X_F(w) : \mathcal{Z}_F(z)$$

$$= \frac{i}{2} \left\langle \mathcal{Z}_F^V(w) \mathcal{Z}_F(z) \right\rangle \partial X_V(w)$$

$$= \frac{i}{2} \eta^{\mu\nu} \frac{1}{w-z} \partial X_V(w)$$

$$= - \frac{i}{2} \oint_C \frac{dw}{2\pi i} E(w) \frac{1}{w-z} \partial X^V(w)$$

$$= - \frac{i}{2} E(z) \partial X^V(z)$$

NO T_F in
de \mathcal{Z}_F For δ_ϵ
then?

1.3.

$$[\partial_{E_1}, \partial_{E_2}] F(z) = \partial_{E_1} \partial_{E_2} F(z) - \partial_{E_2} \partial_{E_1} F(z)$$

$$= -\partial_{E_1} [T_{F_{E_2}}, F(z)] + \partial_{E_2} [T_{F_{E_1}}, F(z)]$$

$$= [T_{F_{E_1}}, [T_{F_{E_2}}, F(z)]] - [T_{F_{E_2}}, [T_{F_{E_1}}, F(z)]]$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Cyclicity}}{\equiv} -[F(z), [T_{F_{E_1}}, T_{F_{E_2}}]] = [[T_{F_{E_1}}, T_{F_{E_2}}], F(z)]$$

$$= \int_{C_0} \int_{C_0} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} \frac{dy}{2\pi i} [E_1(w) T_F(w), E_2(y) T_F(y)], F(z)$$

$$= \left[\int_{C_0} \frac{dy}{2\pi i} \int_{C_0} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} E_1(w) E_2(y) R(T_F(w) T_F(y)), F(z) \right]$$

additional (-1) because E and T_F Grassmannian

see (1.11)

$$\stackrel{\text{(proven later)}}{\equiv} \left[\int_{C_0} \frac{dy}{2\pi i} \int_{C_0} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} E_1(w) E_2(y) \left\{ \frac{c_6}{(w-y)^2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{T(w)}{(w-y)} \right\}, F(z) \right]$$

Wrong result in (1.11)?
~~is correct~~
 like this

C.L.

$$\equiv \left[\int_{C_0} \frac{dy}{2\pi i} \left\{ \frac{c}{12} \partial^2 E_1(y) E_2(y) + \frac{1}{2} E_1(y) E_2(y) T(y) \right\}, F(z) \right]$$

see (A.20)

$$\equiv \left[\int_{C_0} \frac{dy}{2\pi i} \frac{1}{2} E_1(y) E_2(y) T(y), F(z) \right]$$

$$= [T_g, F(z)] \text{ with } g = \frac{1}{2} E_1 E_2$$

expect minus, maybe $g = \frac{1}{2} E_2 E_1$ or $g = -\frac{1}{2} E_1 E_2$
 or see above

2 should be a minus?
 yes, see radial ordering above.

1.4

$$T(z)T(w) = (T_b(z) + T_f(z)) (T_b(w) + T_f(w))$$

And what about conf. w/ conf.?

bosons and fermions do not mix (or vanish) \rightarrow

$$= T_b(z)T_b(w) + T_f(z)T_f(w)$$

additional factor of D because of the metric from components of X^μ only for terms where z prop. appear w/ $(z-w)^4$

$$= \frac{\frac{1}{2}D}{(z-w)^4} + \frac{2T_b(w)}{(z-w)^2} + \frac{\partial T_b(w)}{z-w} + \frac{\frac{1}{4}D}{(z-w)^4} + \frac{2T_f(w)}{(z-w)^2} + \frac{\partial T_f(w)}{z-w} + \text{reg.}$$

$$= \frac{\cancel{\frac{3}{4}D}}{(z-w)^4} + \frac{2T(w)}{(z-w)^2} + \frac{\partial T(w)}{z-w} + \text{reg.}$$

$T(z)T_F(w)$ already calculated in 1.4

$$T_F(z)T_F(w) = -\frac{1}{4} : \psi^\mu(z) \partial X_\mu(z) : : \psi^\nu(w) \partial X_\nu(w) :$$

No commutating necessary?

$$= -\frac{1}{4} \left\{ \text{N.O.} + \langle \psi^\mu(z) \psi^\nu(w) \rangle \langle \partial X_\mu(z) \partial X_\nu(w) \rangle + \langle \psi^\mu(z) \partial X^\nu(w) \rangle \langle \partial X_\mu(z) \psi_\nu(w) \rangle + \langle \psi^\mu(z) \psi^\nu(w) \rangle : \partial X_\mu(z) \partial X_\nu(w) : + \langle \partial X_\mu(z) \partial X_\nu(w) \rangle : \psi^\mu(z) \psi^\nu(w) : + \langle \psi^\mu(z) \partial X^\nu(w) \rangle : \partial X_\mu(z) \psi_\nu(w) : + \langle \partial X_\mu(z) \psi^\nu(w) \rangle : \psi^\mu(z) \partial X^\nu(w) : \right\}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4} \left\{ \text{N.O.} - \eta^{\mu\nu} \frac{1}{z-w} \cdot \eta_{\mu\nu} \frac{1}{(z-w)^2} + \eta^{\mu\nu} \frac{1}{z-w} : \partial X_\mu(z) \partial X_\nu(w) : - \eta^{\mu\nu} \frac{1}{(z-w)^2} : \psi^\mu(z) \psi^\nu(w) : \right\}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4} \left\{ \text{N.O.} - \frac{D}{(z-w)^3} + \frac{1}{(z-w)} \left\{ \partial X_\mu(w) \partial X^\mu(w) + \text{reg.} \right\} \right.$$

$$\left. - \frac{1}{(z-w)^2} \left\{ \psi^\mu(w) \psi_\mu(w) + (z-w) \partial \psi^\mu(w) \psi_\mu(w) + \text{reg.} \right\} \right\}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{D}{4}}{(z-w)^3} + \frac{\frac{1}{4}}{(z-w)^2} \psi^\mu(w) \psi_\mu(w) - \frac{\frac{1}{4}}{z-w} \partial X_\mu(w) \partial X^\mu(w) + \frac{\frac{1}{4}}{z-w} \partial \psi^\mu(w) \psi_\mu(w) + \text{reg. (N.O.)}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4} \psi^\mu(w) \partial X_\mu(w)$$

$$= \frac{D_1}{(z-w)^3} + \frac{1/2}{z-w} T(w)$$

\uparrow
 $\int \gamma \gamma^* = 0$ as $\int \gamma \gamma^* = 0$

$\gamma^*(z+2\pi) = \gamma^*$
 etc. ?

Contour
 C_0 , i.e.
 around 0?

What if r, s
 $= -1/2$?

Formula also
 valid for
 r, s ?

1.5
$$\gamma^*(z) = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}+a} \frac{\gamma_r}{z^{r+1/2}} \implies \gamma^*_{\mathbb{Z}} = \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi i} \gamma^*(z) z^{e-1/2}$$

as then
$$\gamma^*_r = \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi i} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}+a} \frac{\gamma_r}{z^{r+1/2}} z^{e-1/2} = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}+a} \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi i} \frac{\gamma_r}{z^{r-1/2}}$$

$$= \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}+a} \gamma_r \oint \frac{dz}{z} = \gamma^*_r \checkmark$$

$$\implies \left\{ \gamma^*_r, \gamma^*_s \right\} = \oint_{C_0} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_0} \frac{dz}{2\pi i} z^{r-1/2} w^{s-1/2} R(\gamma^*(z) \gamma^*(w))$$

only the pole at w contributes as contour C_0 around w

$$= \oint_{C_0} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_0} \frac{dz}{2\pi i} z^{r-1/2} w^{s-1/2} \left(\frac{\eta^{\mu\nu}}{z-w} + \text{reg.} \right)$$

$$= \eta^{\mu\nu} \oint_{C_0} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} w^{r-1/2} w^{s-1/2} = \eta^{\mu\nu} \oint_{C_0} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} \underbrace{w^{r+s-1}}_{\frac{1}{w^{-r-s+1}}}$$

$$= \eta^{\mu\nu} \delta_{r+s,0}$$

Completely analogous for $\left\{ \bar{\gamma}^*_r, \bar{\gamma}^*_s \right\} = \eta^{\mu\nu} \delta_{r+s,0}$

16.

$[L_m, L_n]$ has already been calculated several times.

We note for $T_F(z) = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}+a} \frac{C_r}{z^{r+1/2}}$

$$\mapsto G_R = \oint_{C_0} \frac{dz}{2\pi i} z^{r+1/2} T_F(z)$$

and for $T(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{L_n}{z^{n+2}}$

$$\mapsto L_m = \oint_{C_0} \frac{dz}{2\pi i} z^{m+1} T(z)$$

Then

$$\{G_r, G_s\} = \oint_{C_0} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_w} \frac{dz}{2\pi i} z^{r+1/2} w^{s+1/2} R(T_F(z) T_F(w))$$

Why now the other expansion w/o factor of $1/4$?

other exp. of $T_F(z) T_F(w)$ the factor of 2.

$$= \oint_{C_0} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_w} \frac{dz}{2\pi i} z^{r+1/2} w^{s+1/2} \left\{ \frac{2C}{3} \frac{1}{(z-w)^3} + \frac{2T(w)}{(z-w)} \right\}$$

$$= \oint_{C_0} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} \left\{ \frac{2C}{3} \frac{1}{2} (r+1/2)(r-1/2) w^{r-3/2} w^{s+1/2} + 2T(w) w^{r+1/2} w^{s+1/2} \right\}$$

$$= \oint_{C_0} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} \left\{ \frac{C}{3} (r^2 - 1/4) w^{r+s-1} + 2T(w) w^{r+s+1} \right\}$$

$$= 2L_{r+s} + \frac{C}{12} (4r^2 - 1) \delta_{r+s,0}$$

$$[L_m, G_r] = \oint_{C_0} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_w} \frac{dz}{2\pi i} z^{m+1} w^{r+1/2} R(T(z) T_F(w))$$

$$= \oint_{C_0} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_w} \frac{dz}{2\pi i} z^{m+1} w^{r+1/2} \left\{ \frac{3}{2} \frac{T_F(w)}{(z-w)^2} + \frac{\partial T_F(w)}{z-w} \right\}$$

$$= \oint_{C_0} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} w^{r+1/2} \left\{ \frac{3}{2} (m+1) w^m T_F(w) + w^{m+1} \partial T_F(w) \right\}$$

$$= \oint_{C_0} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} \left\{ \frac{3}{2} (m+1) w^{m+r+1/2} T_F(w) + w^{m+r+3/2} \partial T_F(w) \right\}$$

int. by parts

$$= \frac{3}{2} (m+1) \Gamma_{m+r} - \int \frac{d\omega}{2\omega i} T_F(\omega) (m+r + \frac{3}{2}) \omega^{m+r+\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= \frac{3}{2} (m+1) \Gamma_{m+r} - (m+r + \frac{3}{2}) \Gamma_{m+r}$$

$$= \frac{m}{2} \Gamma_{m+r} - r \Gamma_{m+r}$$

$$= \frac{m-2r}{2} \Gamma_{m+r}$$

does not
cancel
in contour
integral
really vanish