

Disclaimer

The solution at hand was written in the course of the respective class at the University of Bonn. If not stated differently on top of the first page or the following website, the solution was prepared and handed in solely by me, Marvin Zanke. Anything in a different color than the ball pen blue is usually a correction that I or a tutor made. For more information and all my material, check:

<https://www.physics-and-stuff.com/>

I raise no claim to correctness and completeness of the given solutions! This equally applies to the corrections mentioned above.

This work by [Marvin Zanke](#) is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License](#).

String Theory Exercise 3 Homework

Martin Zwick

5+10+10

31.10.2018

1.1 WS coordinates $\tau \in [-\infty, \infty], \sigma \in [0, \pi]$

Mode expansion of bosonic string: $X^\mu(\sigma, \tau) = X_R^\mu(\tau - \sigma) + X_L^\mu(\tau + \sigma)$

$$X^\mu(\sigma, \tau) = X^\mu + l_s^2 p^\mu \tau + \frac{i}{2} l_s \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{1}{n} (\alpha_n^\mu e^{-2in(\tau - \sigma)} + \tilde{\alpha}_n^\mu e^{-2in(\tau + \sigma)})$$

Wick rot. $\tau \mapsto -i\tau$

$$X^\mu - i l_s^2 p^\mu \tau + \frac{i}{2} l_s \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{1}{n} (\alpha_n^\mu e^{-2in(-i\tau - \sigma)} + \tilde{\alpha}_n^\mu e^{-2in(-i\tau + \sigma)})$$

$$= X^\mu - i l_s^2 p^\mu \tau + \frac{i}{2} l_s \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{1}{n} (\alpha_n^\mu e^{-2n(\tau - i\sigma)} + \tilde{\alpha}_n^\mu e^{-2n(\tau + i\sigma)})$$

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \xi &= 2(\tau - i\sigma), & \bar{\xi} &= 2(\tau + i\sigma) \implies \tau = \frac{1}{4}(\xi + \bar{\xi}) \end{aligned} \right.$$

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} z &= e^\xi & \bar{z} &= e^{\bar{\xi}} \implies |z|^2 = e^{\xi + \bar{\xi}} \\ &= e^{2(\tau - i\sigma)} & & \implies \xi + \bar{\xi} = \log(|z|^2) \end{aligned} \right.$$

$$= X^\mu - i \frac{l_s^2}{4} p^\mu \log(|z|^2) + \frac{i}{2} l_s \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{1}{n} (\alpha_n^\mu z^{-n} + \tilde{\alpha}_n^\mu \bar{z}^{-n})$$

only for closed string?

$\tau \mapsto -i\tau$
metric on WS becomes euclidean - but no metric here; why decay to just do this trick (w/o integral?)
 \rightarrow 2d scalar field theory; same reason as in QFT
 $\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2 + m^2$ (or Δ)
 $= \partial^2(x-y)$

Why N.O. for $[x, p]$? Because $[x, p] \neq 0$? And same argument st. $[\alpha_n, \tilde{\alpha}_m] = 0$ because $[\alpha_n, \tilde{\alpha}_m] = 0$ and $[\alpha_n, \alpha_m] = 0$? Why not $[x, p] = [p, x]$?
 \rightarrow yes

1.2

We note that we only have to consider such terms explicitly, where $[,]$ to, because they after normal ordering and using the commutator, we get additional terms compared to the not normal ordered one. Otherwise, the normal ordering has no effect.

Same x in both X^μ ?
 \rightarrow yes, same function

We thus find:

Spacetime index has to be the same because otherwise N.O. has no effect for dot metric $\eta_{\mu\nu}$?
 \rightarrow yes; fixed the gauge and have flat metric

$$:X(z, \bar{z})X(w, \bar{w}): = X(z, \bar{z})X(w, \bar{w})$$

$$+ \frac{l_s^2}{4} \left\{ \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{1}{n} (\alpha_n z^{-n} + \tilde{\alpha}_n \bar{z}^{-n}) \right\} \left\{ \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{1}{m} (\alpha_m w^{-m} + \tilde{\alpha}_m \bar{w}^{-m}) \right\}$$

$$- \frac{l_s^2}{4} \left\{ \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{1}{n} (\alpha_n z^{-n} + \tilde{\alpha}_n \bar{z}^{-n}) \right\} \left\{ \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{1}{m} (\alpha_m w^{-m} + \tilde{\alpha}_m \bar{w}^{-m}) \right\} :$$

$$+ i \frac{l_s^2}{4} x p \log(|w|^2) + i \frac{l_s^2}{4} p x \log(|z|^2) - i \frac{l_s^2}{4} x p \log(|w|^2) - i \frac{l_s^2}{4} p x \log(|z|^2)$$

(2)

Where the spacetime indices have to be equal (see commutators, the flat metric $\eta^{\mu\nu}$ takes care of this).

Consider (1):

$$: \sum_{n,m \neq 0} \frac{1}{n \cdot m} (\alpha_n z^{-n} + \bar{\alpha}_n \bar{z}^{-n}) (\alpha_m w^{-m} + \bar{\alpha}_m \bar{w}^{-m}) :$$

$$= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n \cdot m} \left\{ : \alpha_n \alpha_m : z^{-n} w^{-m} + : \alpha_n \bar{\alpha}_m : z^{-n} \bar{w}^{-m} + : \bar{\alpha}_n \alpha_m : \bar{z}^{-n} w^{-m} + : \bar{\alpha}_n \bar{\alpha}_m : \bar{z}^{-n} \bar{w}^{-m} \right\}$$

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n \cdot m} \alpha_n \alpha_m z^{-n} w^{-m} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n \cdot m} \alpha_m \alpha_n z^{-n} w^{-m}$$

$$[\alpha_n^\mu, \alpha_m^\nu] = m \eta^{\mu\nu} \delta_{n+m,0}$$

$$= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n \cdot m} \alpha_n^\mu \alpha_m^\nu z^{-n} w^{-m} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} z^{-n} w^{-n}$$

Analogously (2) = $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n \cdot m} \bar{\alpha}_n \bar{\alpha}_m \bar{z}^{-n} \bar{w}^{-m} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \bar{z}^{-n} \bar{w}^{-n}$

(*) Actually try for $\alpha_n \alpha_n$ for $\alpha_n \alpha_n$ possibly one component
 Problem if $\eta^{\mu\nu}$ not flat $\alpha_n^\mu \alpha_n^\nu = \alpha_n^\mu \alpha_n^\nu + \eta^{\mu\nu}$ thus index μ, ν changes?
 only equal indices (fixed the gauge)

The remaining part from (1) and the not-normal ordered one is

$$-\frac{\ell_s^2}{4} \left\{ \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{1}{n} z^{-n} w^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} z^{-n} \bar{w}^n \right\} = + \frac{\ell_s^2}{4} \left\{ \log \left(1 - \frac{w}{z} \right) + \log \left(1 - \frac{w^x}{z^x} \right) \right\}$$

$$= \frac{\ell_s^2}{4} \log \left(1 - \frac{w}{z} - \frac{w^x}{z^x} + \frac{|w|^2}{|z|^2} \right)$$

What if α_n for both $m, n \neq 0$?
 Doesn't matter?
 yes, commutator vanishes

Consider (2):

$$[X^\mu, P^\nu] = i \eta^{\mu\nu}$$

$$i \frac{\ell_s^2}{4} (p_x - x_p) \log(|z|^2) = \frac{\ell_s^2}{4} \log(|z|^2)$$

$$\hookrightarrow : X(z, \bar{z}) X(w, \bar{w}) : = X(z, \bar{z}) X(w, \bar{w}) + \frac{\ell_s^2}{4} \left\{ \log \left(1 - \frac{w}{z} - \frac{w^x}{z^x} + \frac{|w|^2}{|z|^2} \right) + \log(|z|^2) \right\}$$

$$= X(z, \bar{z}) X(w, \bar{w}) + \frac{\ell_s^2}{4} \log(|z|^2 - w z^x - w^x z + |w|^2)$$

$$\hookrightarrow X(z, \bar{z}) X(w, \bar{w}) = : X(z, \bar{z}) X(w, \bar{w}) : - \frac{\ell_s^2}{4} \log(|z-w|^2)$$



$$2) L_m = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} : \alpha_{m-n} \alpha_n : , L_{m+n} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} : \alpha_{m+n-k} \alpha_k :$$

$$[L_m, L_n] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} [: \alpha_{m-k} \alpha_k : , L_n]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^0 [\alpha_k \alpha_{m-k} , L_n] + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\alpha_{m-k} \alpha_k , L_n] \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^0 \left(\alpha_k [\alpha_{m-k} , L_n] + [\alpha_k , L_n] \alpha_{m-k} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\alpha_{m-k} [\alpha_k , L_n] + [\alpha_{m-k} , L_n] \alpha_k \right) \right\}$$

$$[\alpha_i^{\mu} , L_n] = \frac{1}{2} [\alpha_i^{\mu} , \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} : \alpha_{n-l} \alpha_l :]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{l=-\infty}^0 [\alpha_i^{\mu} , \alpha_l \alpha_{n-l}] + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} [\alpha_i^{\mu} , \alpha_{n-l} \alpha_l] \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{l=-\infty}^0 \left(\alpha_l^{\nu} [\alpha_i^{\mu} , \alpha_{n-l}^{\nu}] + [\alpha_i^{\mu} , \alpha_l^{\nu}] \alpha_{n-l}^{\nu} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left(\alpha_{n-l}^{\nu} [\alpha_i^{\mu} , \alpha_l^{\nu}] + [\alpha_i^{\mu} , \alpha_{n-l}^{\nu}] \alpha_l^{\nu} \right) \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{l=-\infty}^0 i \alpha_l^{\nu} \delta_{i+n-l,0} + i \alpha_{n-l}^{\nu} \delta_{i,l,0} \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} i \alpha_{n-l}^{\nu} \delta_{i,l,0} + i \alpha_l^{\nu} \delta_{i+n-l,0} \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} i \left\{ \alpha_{i+n}^{\nu} + \alpha_{i+n}^{\nu} \right\} = i \alpha_{i+n}^{\nu}$$

$$\rightarrow = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^0 \left((m-k) \alpha_k \alpha_{m-k+n} + k \alpha_{k+n} \alpha_{m-k} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(k \alpha_{m-k} \alpha_{k+n} + (m-k) \alpha_{m-k+n} \alpha_k \right) \right\} \quad (1)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_k (m-k) : \alpha_{m+n-k} \alpha_k :$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=-\infty}^0 k \alpha_{k+n} \alpha_{m-k} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \alpha_{m-k} \alpha_{k+n}$$

$$(*) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k'=0}^m (k'-n) \alpha_{k'} \alpha_{m+n-k'} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k'=n+1}^{\infty} (k'-n) \alpha_{m+n-k'} \alpha_{k'}$$

$$(**) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^0 (k-n) \alpha_k \alpha_{m+n-k} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^n (k-n) \alpha_k \alpha_{m+n-k}$$

Where is the problem to use comm. at this point and get to form L_{m+n} w/ add. α_{m+n} term? I even w/ Kommutator N.O.? No sticking in N.O. sum?

What if $n=0$ we can't split the sum again?

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=-\infty}^0 (k-n) \alpha_k \alpha_{m+n-k} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^m (k-n) (\alpha_{m-n-k} \alpha_k + k \delta_{m-n,0}) \\
&\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=-\infty}^0 (k-n) \alpha_k \alpha_{m+n-k} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^m (k-n) \alpha_{m-n-k} \alpha_k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^m (k-n) \alpha_{m-n-k} \alpha_k \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^m (k-n) k \delta_{m-n,0} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_k (k-n) : \alpha_{m+n-k} \alpha_k : + \frac{D}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m (k-n) k \delta_{m-n,0} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (m-n) \sum_k : \alpha_{m-n-k} \alpha_k : + \frac{D}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m (k-n) k \delta_{m-n,0} \\
&= (m-n) L_{m+n} + \delta_{m-n,0} \frac{D}{2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^m k^2 - n \sum_{k=1}^m k \right) \\
&\quad \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6} - \frac{n(n+1)}{2} = \frac{(n^2+n)(2n+1) - 3n^2 - 3n^2}{6} = \frac{-n^3+n}{6} \\
&= (m-n) L_{m+n} + \delta_{m-n,0} \frac{D}{12} (m^3 - m)
\end{aligned}$$

$\eta_{m,n} \eta_{m'-D}$
 truly?
 definition of inverse metric that $\eta_{m,n} = \delta_{m,-n}$ and thus a sum of "one's".
 N.O. effects appear when $m=n=0$ - but also for the next N.O. \neq
 yes, but no effect

Alternatively, we could have stopped at (1), commute the operators and shift the sums, in order to see that $[L_m, L_n] = (m-n)L_{m+n} + T \delta_{m+n,0}$ with T being some number.

We then make the Ansatz: $[L_m, L_n] = (m-n)L_{m+n} + A(m) \delta_{m+n,0}$
 and notice: $[L_m, L_{-m}] = 2mL_0 + A(m) = -[L_{-m}, L_m]$
 $[L_{-m}, L_m] = -2mL_0 + A(-m)$
 $\Rightarrow A(-m) = -A(m)$

$[X, c] = 0$ clear?
 \Rightarrow see below
 $[L_k, A(m)]$
 (per def.)
 $A(m)$ could also be infinite for scalar field = 1 in 2 dimensions

Also, from the Jacobi identity, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= [L_k, [L_n, L_m]] + [L_n, [L_m, L_k]] + [L_m, [L_k, L_n]] \\
&= [L_k, (n-m)L_{m+n} + A(n)\delta_{m+n,0}] + [L_n, (m-k)L_{m+k} + A(m)\delta_{m+k,0}] \\
&\quad + [L_m, (k-n)L_{k+n} + A(k)\delta_{k+n,0}] \\
&= (n-m) \{ (k-n-m)L_{k+m+n} + A(k)\delta_{k+m+n,0} \} + (m-k) \{ (n-m-k)L_{k+n+m} + A(m)\delta_{m+k+n,0} \} \\
&\quad + (k-n) \{ (m-k-n)L_{k+n+m} + A(k)\delta_{m+k+n,0} \} \\
&= \{ (n-m)A(k) + (m-k)A(m) + (k-n)A(m) \} \delta_{k+m+n,0}
\end{aligned}$$

$[L_k, A(m)] = 0$?
 \Rightarrow it's a C-number (per definition) commutes
 what about $\delta_{m+n,0}$?
 \Rightarrow choosing values which

Using the values $k=1, m=-n-1$ (w/ $k+m+n=0$), we find

$$\begin{aligned} & (n+1)A(n) + (-n-2)A(n) + (1-n)A(-n-1) = 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow & A(n+1)(1-n) = (2n+1)A(n) - (n+2)A(n) \\ \Leftrightarrow & A(n+1) = \frac{(n+2)A(n) - (2n+1)A(n)}{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

where from trying the Ansatz $A(n) = C_3 n^3 + C_1 n$, we find
 Ansatz & n has to be odd
 in n and can see from that higher terms drop out.

Trying the Ansatz $A(n) = C_3 n^3 + C_1 n$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} A(n+1) &= C_3 (n+1)^3 + C_1 (n+1) \\ & \stackrel{!}{=} \frac{(n+2)(C_3 n^3 + C_1 n) - (2n+1)(C_3 + C_1)}{n-1} \\ &= \frac{C_3 n^4 + C_1 n^2 + 2C_3 n^3 + 2C_1 n - 2C_3 n - 2C_1 n - C_3 - C_1}{n-1} \\ &= \frac{C_3 (n^4 + 2n^3 - 2n - 1) + C_1 (n^2 - 1)}{n-1} \\ &= \frac{C_3 (n-1)(n^3 + 3n^2 + 3n + 1) + C_1 (n-1)(n+1)}{n-1} \\ &= C_3 (n+1)^3 + C_1 (n+1) \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

To determine C_1 and C_2 , we look at $[L_{12}, L_{-12}]$ on the vac. state

Why only valid for the $p=0$ state?
 Can transform it like this by Lorentz Trafo

no momentum!

$$\langle 0,0 | [L_1, L_1] | 0,0 \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \langle 0,0 | \sum_n : \alpha_{-n} \alpha_n : \sum_m : \alpha_{-m} \alpha_m : | 0,0 \rangle$$

$$- \langle 0,0 | \sum_n : \alpha_{-n} \alpha_n : \sum_m : \alpha_{-m} \alpha_m : | 0,0 \rangle$$

from normal ordering, both have to be Creation (acting to right) and/or annihilation (acting to right)

operators st. the vac. does not get annihilated.

i.e. $m < 0 \wedge -1-m < 0$
 $n > 0 \wedge 1-n > 0$
 $m < 0 \wedge 1-m < 0$
 $n > 0 \wedge -1-n > 0$

there $n, m = 0$
 not allowed,
 as do up and
 pro for our vac.

= 0

$$\text{Also } \langle 0,0 | [L_1, L_{-1}] | 0,0 \rangle = \langle 0,0 | 2L_0 + A(1) | 0,0 \rangle \\ = \underbrace{\langle 0,0 | \alpha_0 \alpha_0 | 0,0 \rangle}_{=0 \text{ for } p=0} + c_1 + c_3$$

$$\Rightarrow c_1 = -c_3$$

$$\text{Analogously: } \langle 0,0 | [L_2, L_{-2}] | 0,0 \rangle = \langle 0,0 | 4L_0 + A(2) | 0,0 \rangle \\ = 2c_1 + 8c_3$$

$$\text{and } \langle 0,0 | [L_2, L_{-2}] | 0,0 \rangle = \frac{15}{4} \langle 0,0 | \sum_n \alpha_{2-n} \alpha_n : \sum_m \alpha_{-2-m} \alpha_m : | 0,0 \rangle \\ - \langle 0,0 | \sum_n \alpha_{-2-n} \alpha_n : \sum_m \alpha_{2-m} \alpha_m : | 0,0 \rangle \}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Conditions: } m < 0 \wedge -2-n < 0 \Rightarrow m = -1$$

$$n > 0 \wedge 2-n > 0 \Rightarrow n = 1$$

$$m < 0 \wedge 2-m < 0 \Rightarrow \downarrow$$

$$n > 0 \wedge -2-n > 0 \Rightarrow \downarrow$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \langle 0,0 | \alpha_1 \alpha_1 \alpha_{-1} \alpha_{-1} | 0,0 \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \langle 0,0 | \alpha_1^m \alpha_1^n \eta_{\mu\nu} \eta_{\kappa\sigma} \alpha_1^k \alpha_1^s | 0,0 \rangle \\ = \frac{1}{4} \eta_{\mu\nu} \eta_{\kappa\sigma} \langle 0,0 | \alpha_1^m \alpha_1^n \alpha_1^k \alpha_1^s | 0,0 \rangle$$

$$[\alpha_1^m \alpha_1^n, \alpha_1^k \alpha_1^s] = \alpha_1^m [\alpha_1^n, \alpha_1^k \alpha_1^s] + [\alpha_1^m, \alpha_1^k \alpha_1^s] \alpha_1^n$$

$$= \alpha_1^m \alpha_1^k [\alpha_1^n, \alpha_1^s] + \alpha_1^m [\alpha_1^n, \alpha_1^k] \alpha_1^s$$

$$+ \alpha_1^k [\alpha_1^m, \alpha_1^s] \alpha_1^n + [\alpha_1^m, \alpha_1^k] \alpha_1^s \alpha_1^n$$

$$= \eta^{\nu\sigma} \alpha_1^m \alpha_1^k + \eta^{\nu\kappa} \alpha_1^m \alpha_1^s + \eta^{\mu\sigma} \alpha_1^k \alpha_1^n + \eta^{\mu\kappa} \alpha_1^s \alpha_1^n$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \eta_{\mu\nu} \eta_{\kappa\sigma} \left\{ \langle 0,0 | \alpha_1^k \alpha_1^s \alpha_1^m \alpha_1^n + \eta^{\nu\sigma} \alpha_1^m \alpha_1^k + \eta^{\nu\kappa} \alpha_1^m \alpha_1^s + \eta^{\mu\sigma} \alpha_1^k \alpha_1^n + \eta^{\mu\kappa} \alpha_1^s \alpha_1^n | 0,0 \rangle \right\}$$

non-zero
annihilation
of vac

$$= \frac{1}{4} \eta_{\mu\nu} \eta_{\kappa\sigma} \langle 0,0 | \eta^{\nu\sigma} \alpha_1^m \alpha_1^k + \eta^{\nu\kappa} \alpha_1^m \alpha_1^s | 0,0 \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \eta_{\mu\nu} \eta_{\kappa\sigma} \left\{ \eta^{\nu\sigma} \langle 0,0 | \alpha_1^k \alpha_1^m + \eta^{\mu\kappa} | 0,0 \rangle + \eta^{\nu\kappa} \langle 0,0 | \alpha_1^s \alpha_1^m + \eta^{\mu\sigma} | 0,0 \rangle \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \eta_{\mu\nu} \eta_{\kappa\sigma} \eta^{\nu\sigma} \eta^{\mu\kappa} + \eta_{\mu\nu} \eta_{\kappa\sigma} \eta^{\nu\kappa} \eta^{\mu\sigma} \right\} = \frac{D}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow 2c_1 + 8c_3 = 6c_3 = \frac{D}{2}, \Rightarrow c_3 = \frac{D}{12} \Rightarrow c_1 = -\frac{D}{12}$$

$$\Rightarrow A(m) = \frac{D}{12} (m^3 - m)$$



3) Had $\eta^{\mu\nu} = \int d\alpha J^{\mu\nu} = L^{\mu\nu} + E^{\mu\nu} + \bar{E}^{\mu\nu}$

Same w/o N.O. as $x^\mu p^\nu - x^\nu p^\mu$: $-i \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{1}{n} (\alpha_{-n}^\mu \alpha_n^\nu - \alpha_{-n}^\nu \alpha_n^\mu)$
 w/o.

With $L_m = \frac{1}{2} \sum_n : \alpha_{m-n} \cdot \alpha_n :$, we notice that in

$[L_m, \eta^{\mu\nu}]$ only commutators between the α 's and α/xp contribute, i.e. $[L_m, \eta^{\mu\nu}] = [L_m, L^{\mu\nu} + E^{\mu\nu}]$.

$[L_m, \eta^{\mu\nu}] = [L_m, E^{\mu\nu}] = -\frac{i}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k \neq 0} \frac{1}{k} [: \alpha_{m-n} \cdot \alpha_n : , : \alpha_k^\mu \alpha_{-k}^\nu - \alpha_k^\nu \alpha_{-k}^\mu :]$

$= -\frac{i}{2} \left\{ \sum_{k \neq 0} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} [\alpha_n \cdot \alpha_{m-n} , \alpha_{-k}^\mu \alpha_k^\nu - \alpha_{-k}^\nu \alpha_k^\mu] \right.$
 $\left. + \sum_{k \neq 0} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{n \neq 0} [\alpha_{m-n} \cdot \alpha_n , \alpha_{-k}^\mu \alpha_k^\nu - \alpha_{-k}^\nu \alpha_k^\mu] \right\}$

$[\alpha_n^\mu, \alpha_m^\nu] = m \delta_{m+n,0} \eta^{\mu\nu}$

$\Rightarrow \alpha_{m-n}^\mu \alpha_n^\nu \eta^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu} \{ \alpha_n^\nu \alpha_{m-n}^\mu + (m-n) \delta_{m,0} \eta^{\mu\nu} \}$

$= -\frac{i}{2} \left\{ \sum_{k \neq 0} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} [\alpha_n \cdot \alpha_{m-n} , \alpha_{-k}^\mu \alpha_k^\nu - \alpha_{-k}^\nu \alpha_k^\mu] \right.$
 $\left. + \sum_{k \neq 0} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{n \neq 0} [\alpha_{m-n} \cdot \alpha_n , \alpha_{-k}^\mu \alpha_k^\nu - \alpha_{-k}^\nu \alpha_k^\mu] \right\}$
 $= 0$

$= -\frac{i}{2} \sum_{k \neq 0} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \alpha_{n-k}^\mu [\alpha_{m-n}^\nu , \alpha_{-k}^\mu \alpha_k^\nu] + [\alpha_{n-k}^\mu , \alpha_{-k}^\mu \alpha_k^\nu] \alpha_{m-n}^\nu \right.$
 $\left. - \alpha_{n-k}^\mu [\alpha_{m-n}^\nu , \alpha_{-k}^\nu \alpha_k^\mu] - [\alpha_{n-k}^\mu , \alpha_{-k}^\nu \alpha_k^\mu] \alpha_{m-n}^\nu \right\}$

$= -\frac{i}{2} \sum_{k \neq 0} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ (m-n) \delta_{m-n+k,0} \eta^{k\nu} \alpha_{n-k}^\nu \alpha_{-k}^\mu + (m-n) \delta_{m-n-k,0} \eta^{k\nu} \alpha_{n-k}^\mu \alpha_{-k}^\nu \right.$
 $\left. + n \delta_{n+k,0} \eta^{k\nu} \alpha_{-k}^\mu \alpha_{m-n}^\nu + n \delta_{n-k,0} \eta^{k\nu} \alpha_{-k}^\nu \alpha_{m-n}^\mu \right.$
 $\left. - (m-n) \delta_{m+n+k,0} \eta^{k\mu} \alpha_{n-k}^\mu \alpha_{-k}^\nu - (m-n) \delta_{m-n-k,0} \eta^{k\mu} \alpha_{n-k}^\nu \alpha_{-k}^\mu \right.$
 $\left. - n \delta_{n+k,0} \eta^{k\mu} \alpha_{-k}^\nu \alpha_{m-n}^\mu - n \delta_{n-k,0} \eta^{k\mu} \alpha_{-k}^\mu \alpha_{m-n}^\nu \right\}$

$= -\frac{i}{2} \sum_{k \neq 0} \frac{1}{k} \left\{ (-k) \alpha_{m-k}^\nu \alpha_{-k}^\mu + k \alpha_{m-k}^\mu \alpha_{-k}^\nu - k \alpha_{-k}^\mu \alpha_{m+k}^\nu + k \alpha_{-k}^\nu \alpha_{m+k}^\mu \right.$
 $\left. + k \alpha_{m+k}^\mu \alpha_{-k}^\nu - k \alpha_{m+k}^\nu \alpha_{-k}^\mu + k \alpha_{-k}^\nu \alpha_{m-k}^\mu - k \alpha_{-k}^\mu \alpha_{m-k}^\nu \right\}$

What about $L_m = \frac{1}{2} \sum_n \alpha_n \cdot \alpha_n$
 same result, as zero-modes of them equal

w/o N.O., never calculated this? trivially vanishes? does not make sense, as we look at quantized

The underlined terms correspond to each other respectively with $k \rightarrow -k$, thus having the sum over all $k \neq 0$

$$= -\frac{i}{2} \sum_{k \neq 0} \left\{ -\alpha_{m+k}^{\nu} \alpha_{-k}^{\mu} + \alpha_{m+k}^{\mu} \alpha_{-k}^{\nu} - \alpha_k^{\mu} \alpha_{m-k}^{\nu} + \alpha_k^{\nu} \alpha_{m-k}^{\mu} \right\}$$

include $k=0$ term and subtract

$$= -\frac{i}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\{ -\alpha_{m+k}^{\nu} \alpha_{-k}^{\mu} + \alpha_{m+k}^{\mu} \alpha_{-k}^{\nu} - \alpha_k^{\mu} \alpha_{m-k}^{\nu} + \alpha_k^{\nu} \alpha_{m-k}^{\mu} \right\} + \frac{i}{2} \left\{ -\alpha_m^{\nu} \alpha_0^{\mu} + \alpha_m^{\mu} \alpha_0^{\nu} - \alpha_0^{\mu} \alpha_m^{\nu} + \alpha_0^{\nu} \alpha_m^{\mu} \right\}$$

$k \rightarrow k+m$
 \downarrow
 $\frac{1}{m} \otimes$
 \downarrow
 \equiv
 \uparrow

$$= -\frac{i}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\{ -\alpha_{m+k}^{\nu} \alpha_{-k}^{\mu} + \alpha_{m+k}^{\mu} \alpha_{-k}^{\nu} - \alpha_{k+m}^{\mu} \alpha_{-k}^{\nu} + \alpha_{k+m}^{\nu} \alpha_{-k}^{\mu} \right\}$$

$$[\alpha_0^{\mu}, \alpha_m^{\nu}] + i \left\{ -\alpha_0^{\mu} \alpha_m^{\nu} + \alpha_m^{\mu} \alpha_0^{\nu} \right\}$$

$$= 0 \cdot \delta_{m,0} \eta^{\mu\nu} = 0$$

$$= i \left\{ \alpha_m^{\mu} \alpha_0^{\nu} - \alpha_0^{\mu} \alpha_m^{\nu} \right\} = i \left\{ \alpha_m^{\mu} \alpha_0^{\nu} - \alpha_m^{\nu} \alpha_0^{\mu} \right\}$$

Why do α_0^{μ} still commute?
 because of the 0...
 ✓

We also find: $[L_m, \eta^{\mu\nu}] = [L_m, \theta^{\mu\nu}] = \frac{i}{2} \left[\sum_n \alpha_{m-n} \alpha_n, x^{\mu} p^{\nu} - x^{\nu} p^{\mu} \right]_{\alpha_0 \neq p}$

any non-commuting for α_n & p_m in the sum, i.e. $n=0, m$ as $p \sim \alpha_0$ and $p \sim \alpha_0$ commute w/ all α but $[p, x] \neq 0$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[\alpha_m \alpha_0 + \alpha_0 \alpha_m, x^{\mu} p^{\nu} - x^{\nu} p^{\mu} \right]$$

$$[\alpha_m \alpha_n, x^{\mu} p^{\nu}] = \alpha_m [\alpha_n, x^{\mu} p^{\nu}] + [\alpha_m, x^{\mu} p^{\nu}] \alpha_n = \alpha_m \alpha_n (x^{\mu} [p^{\nu}, x^{\mu}] + [x^{\mu}, p^{\nu}] \alpha_n) + (x^{\mu} [\alpha_m, p^{\nu}] + [\alpha_m, x^{\mu}] p^{\nu}) \alpha_n$$

$$[x^{\mu}, p^{\nu}] = i \eta^{\mu\nu} \Rightarrow [\alpha_m, x^{\mu}] = C \cdot i \eta^{\mu\nu} = -i \alpha_m^{\mu} \alpha_0^{\nu} \delta_{m,0} - i \alpha_0^{\nu} \alpha_m^{\mu} \delta_{m,0}$$

What about $[p, x]$?
 vanishes
 ✓

$$[\alpha_m^{\mu}, \alpha_0^{\nu}] = -\frac{i}{2} \left\{ \alpha_m^{\mu} \alpha_0^{\nu} + \alpha_0^{\nu} \alpha_m^{\mu} \delta_{m,0} + \alpha_0^{\mu} \alpha_0^{\nu} \delta_{m,0} + \alpha_0^{\nu} \alpha_m^{\mu} - \alpha_m^{\nu} \alpha_0^{\mu} - \alpha_0^{\mu} \alpha_0^{\nu} \delta_{m,0} - \alpha_0^{\nu} \alpha_m^{\mu} - \alpha_0^{\mu} \alpha_m^{\nu} \right\} = -i \left\{ \alpha_m^{\mu} \alpha_0^{\nu} - \alpha_m^{\nu} \alpha_0^{\mu} \right\}$$

So in total:

$$[L_m, \eta^{\mu\nu}] = [L_m, \theta^{\mu\nu} + \epsilon^{\mu\nu}] = i \left\{ \alpha_m^{\mu} \alpha_0^{\nu} - \alpha_m^{\nu} \alpha_0^{\mu} \right\} - i \left\{ \alpha_m^{\mu} \alpha_0^{\nu} - \alpha_m^{\nu} \alpha_0^{\mu} \right\}$$

phys. states in the multiplet $\rightarrow 0$ This means that the definition of Lorentz symmetry physical states is invariant under $L_m + \epsilon_m$