

Disclaimer

The solution at hand was written in the course of the respective class at the University of Bonn. If not stated differently on top of the first page or the following website, the solution was prepared and handed in solely by me, Marvin Zanke. Anything in a different color than the ball pen blue is usually a correction that I or a tutor made. For more information and all my material, check:

<https://www.physics-and-stuff.com/>

I raise no claim to correctness and completeness of the given solutions! This equally applies to the corrections mentioned above.

This work by [Marvin Zanke](#) is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License](#).

String Theory Exercise 6 Homework

Martin Zeman

13.11.2018

1) Euclidean d -dimensional ST $\mathbb{R}^{d,0}$, $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & -1 & \\ & & & \ddots \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ $\frac{28}{24}$ $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ $\nu=1, \dots, d$
 Conformal transformation leaves the metric

tensor invariant up to a scale, i.e. 1601.0300 Conf. group and
1602.07982 d=3-CFTs

Wrong trace on sheet \rightarrow yes

$$\eta'_{\mu\nu}(x'^{\mu}) = \eta_{\rho\sigma} \frac{\partial x^{\rho}}{\partial x'^{\mu}} \frac{\partial x^{\sigma}}{\partial x'^{\nu}} = \Lambda(x') \eta_{\mu\nu}(x') \quad (*)$$

preserving angles between vectors in ST.

1. Infinitesimal Coordinate Transformation

$$x^{\mu} \mapsto x'^{\mu} = x^{\mu} \pm \epsilon^{\mu}(x), \quad \epsilon(x) \ll 1$$

$$\mapsto \frac{\partial x^{\rho}}{\partial x'^{\mu}} = \delta^{\rho\mu} \pm \frac{\partial \epsilon^{\rho}}{\partial x^{\mu}} = \delta^{\rho\mu} \pm \partial^{\rho\mu} \epsilon$$

$$\text{and } \frac{\partial x^{\nu}}{\partial x'^{\mu}} = \delta^{\nu\mu} \mp \partial^{\nu\mu} \epsilon \text{ s.t.}$$

$$g^{\mu\nu}_k = \frac{\partial x^{\rho}}{\partial x'^{\mu}} \frac{\partial x^{\sigma}}{\partial x'^{\nu}} = (\delta^{\rho\mu} \pm \partial^{\rho\mu} \epsilon) (\delta^{\sigma\nu} \mp \partial^{\sigma\nu} \epsilon) \\ = (\delta^{\mu\nu} \pm \partial^{\mu\nu} \epsilon \mp \partial^{\mu\nu} \epsilon + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)) \checkmark$$

Why Λ around 1?
 $\mapsto \Lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, f small because ϵ small
 inf. trace

Requiring that this transformation is conformal with $\Lambda(x') = (1-f)$ (with the upper sign)

$$\eta'_{\mu\nu}(x'^{\mu}) = \eta_{\rho\sigma} (\delta^{\rho\mu} - \partial^{\rho\mu} \epsilon^{\sigma}) (\delta^{\sigma\nu} - \partial^{\sigma\nu} \epsilon^{\rho}) \stackrel{!}{=} (1-f) \eta_{\mu\nu}(x^{\mu})$$

$$= \eta_{\mu\nu} - \eta_{\rho\nu} \partial^{\rho\mu} \epsilon^{\sigma} - \eta_{\mu\rho} \partial^{\sigma\nu} \epsilon^{\rho} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$$

$$= \eta_{\mu\nu} - \partial^{\rho} \epsilon_{\nu} - \partial^{\nu} \epsilon_{\rho} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$$

$$\mapsto f \eta_{\mu\nu} = \partial^{\rho} \epsilon_{\nu} + \partial^{\nu} \epsilon_{\rho} \quad (**)$$

Taking the trace of this relation, i.e. multiplying $\eta^{\mu\nu}$,

$$f \eta^{\mu\nu} \eta_{\mu\nu} = \partial^{\nu} \epsilon_{\nu} + \partial^{\nu} \epsilon_{\nu}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow df = 2(\partial \cdot \epsilon) \mapsto f = \frac{2}{d} (\partial \cdot \epsilon) = \partial \cdot \epsilon$$

$$\square \quad 2. \quad \partial_\rho \partial_\mu \epsilon_\nu + \partial_\rho \partial_\nu \epsilon_\mu = \partial_\rho f \eta_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} \partial_\rho f$$

We also have the permutations of this equation:

$$\eta_{\rho\mu} \partial_\nu f = \partial_\rho \partial_\mu \epsilon_\nu + \partial_\rho \partial_\nu \epsilon_\mu$$

$$\eta_{\rho\nu} \partial_\mu f = \partial_\rho \partial_\nu \epsilon_\mu + \partial_\rho \partial_\mu \epsilon_\nu$$

Combining these:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{\rho\nu} \partial_\mu f + \eta_{\rho\mu} \partial_\nu f - \eta_{\mu\nu} \partial_\rho f &= \partial_\rho \partial_\nu \epsilon_\mu + \partial_\rho \partial_\mu \epsilon_\nu + \partial_\rho \partial_\nu \epsilon_\mu + \partial_\rho \partial_\mu \epsilon_\nu \\ &\quad - \partial_\rho \partial_\mu \epsilon_\nu - \partial_\rho \partial_\nu \epsilon_\mu \\ &= \partial_\rho \partial_\nu \epsilon_\mu + \partial_\rho \partial_\mu \epsilon_\nu = 2 \partial_\rho \partial_\nu \epsilon_\mu \end{aligned}$$

3. Contracting with $\eta^{\mu\nu}$, we find:

$$\eta^{\rho\mu} \partial_\nu f + \eta^{\rho\nu} \partial_\mu f - d \partial_\rho f = 2 \partial^2 \epsilon_\rho$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \partial_\rho f + \partial_\rho f - d \partial_\rho f = 2 \partial^2 \epsilon_\rho$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (2-d) \partial_\rho f = 2 \partial^2 \epsilon_\rho$$

Taking ∂_ν of this expression, we find:

$$(2-d) \partial_\nu \partial_\rho f = 2 \partial^2 \partial_\nu \epsilon_\rho \rightsquigarrow \partial^2 \partial_\nu \epsilon_\rho = \frac{2-d}{2} \partial_\nu \partial_\rho f \quad (**)$$

We furthermore take ∂^2 of $(**)$ to find

$$\partial^2 \partial_\mu \epsilon_\nu + \partial^2 \partial_\nu \epsilon_\mu = \eta_{\mu\nu} \partial^2 f$$

and then:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{\mu\nu} \partial^2 f &= \partial^2 \partial_\mu \epsilon_\nu + \partial^2 \partial_\nu \epsilon_\mu \stackrel{(***)}{=} \frac{2-d}{2} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu f + \frac{2-d}{2} \partial_\nu \partial_\mu f \\ &= (2-d) \partial_\mu \partial_\nu f \quad (***) \end{aligned}$$

✓ $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ flat i.e. no x-dep? why then in (1.1) is possible that depends on x maybe

Why can exchange part. derivatives?

Contracting once more with $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ yields

$$(z-d) \partial^2 f = d \partial^2 f \Leftrightarrow z(1-d) \partial^2 f = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (d-1) \partial^2 f = 0 \quad (*)^5$$

\leadsto no constraint on f for $d=1$.

\bullet $d=2$ considered next exercise

4. For $d \geq 3$, $(*)^4$ and $(*)^5$ imply $\partial_\mu \partial^\mu f = 0$, which means that f can at most be linear in x^μ ,
 $f(x^\mu) = A + B_\mu x^\mu$.

Going back to $(**)$, $f_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \epsilon_\nu + \partial_\nu \epsilon_\mu$, it is clear that ϵ can then at most be cubic in x^μ , as the derivative of ϵ will constitute to f . We expand

$$\epsilon_\mu = a_\mu + b_{\mu\nu} x^\nu + c_{\mu\nu\sigma} x^\nu x^\sigma \quad (*)^6$$

with $c_{\mu\nu\sigma} = c_{\sigma\nu\mu}$, as $x^\nu x^\sigma$ sym. in $\nu\sigma$. See also:

$$d_{\mu\nu\sigma} x^\nu x^\sigma = \frac{1}{2} (d_{\mu\nu\sigma} x^\nu x^\sigma + d_{\mu\sigma\nu} x^\sigma x^\nu)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (d_{\mu\nu\sigma} + d_{\mu\sigma\nu}) x^\nu x^\sigma = c_{\mu\nu\sigma} x^\nu x^\sigma$$

5. As (1.3) - (1.5) hold for all x^μ , we can treat each power of x^μ in $(*)^6$ separately.

i) (1.3): $\partial_\mu a_\nu + \partial_\nu a_\mu = f_{\mu\nu} \Leftrightarrow 0 = f_{\mu\nu}$

(1.4): $f = \frac{2}{d} (\partial_\mu a^\mu) = 0$

(1.5): $\underbrace{\partial_\mu \partial_\nu a_\sigma}_{=0} = \eta_{\nu\sigma} \partial_\mu f + \eta_{\sigma\mu} \partial_\nu f - \eta_{\mu\nu} \partial_\sigma f$

\leadsto no constraints on a_μ .

why (1.7) alone not enough, i.e. what's the problem for $d=2$?
 \leadsto couldn't even get that eq. if $d=2$.

Does a tensor always split in sym. and antisym. part?
 \leadsto should be possible

why can we treat each power separately?
 \leadsto if we insert them completely, we will end up w/ an eq. containing powers of x and w/o. x ; these can be separated by powers of x and they have to hold separately.

$$ii) (1.3) : \partial_\mu (b_{\nu k} x^k) + \partial_\nu (b_{\mu k} x^k) = f \eta_{\mu\nu}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow b_{\nu\mu} + b_{\mu\nu} = f \eta_{\mu\nu}$$

$$(1.4) : f = \frac{2}{d} (\partial_\mu \epsilon^{\mu}) = \frac{2}{d} \partial_\mu (b^{\mu k} x^k) = \frac{2}{d} b^{\mu\mu}$$

$$(1.5) : \underbrace{2 \partial^{\mu\nu} (b_{\mu k} x^k)}_{=0} = \eta_{\nu\sigma} \partial^\sigma f + \eta_{\mu\sigma} \partial^\sigma f - \eta_{\mu\nu} \partial^\sigma f$$

For ϵ^μ
just pull up
the μ index?

\Rightarrow combining ^{the} (1.3) and (1.4) results, we find

$$b_{\nu\mu} + b_{\mu\nu} = \frac{2}{d} b^{\sigma\sigma} \eta_{\mu\nu}$$

$$iii) (1.3) : \partial_\mu (C_{\nu k s} x^k x^s) + \partial_\nu (C_{\mu k s} x^k x^s) = f \eta_{\mu\nu}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow C_{\nu\mu s} x^s + C_{\mu\nu s} x^s + C_{\mu k s} x^k + C_{\nu k s} x^k = f \eta_{\mu\nu}$$

$$(1.4) : f = \frac{2}{d} \partial_\mu (C^{\mu k} x^k)$$

$$= \frac{2}{d} (C^{\mu k} x^k + C^{\nu\mu} x^\nu) = \frac{4}{d} C^{\mu k} x^k$$

$$(1.5) : 2 \partial^{\mu\nu} (C_{\mu k} x^k x^\nu) = \eta_{\nu\sigma} \partial^\sigma f + \eta_{\mu\sigma} \partial^\sigma f - \eta_{\mu\nu} \partial^\sigma f$$

$$\stackrel{(1.4)}{\Leftrightarrow} 2 \partial^\mu (C_{\mu\nu} x^\nu + C_{\nu\mu} x^\mu) = \eta_{\nu\sigma} \left(\frac{4}{d} C^{\sigma\sigma} \right) + \eta_{\mu\sigma} \left(\frac{4}{d} C^{\sigma\sigma} \right) - \eta_{\mu\nu} \left(\frac{4}{d} C^{\sigma\sigma} \right)$$

What about
the (1.3) +
(1.4) cond.
here?

$$\Leftrightarrow 4 C_{\mu\nu} = \frac{4}{d} \{ \eta_{\nu\sigma} C^{\sigma\sigma} + \eta_{\mu\sigma} C^{\sigma\sigma} - \eta_{\mu\nu} C^{\sigma\sigma} \}$$

$$b_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{d} C^{\sigma\sigma}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow C_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} b_{\nu} + \eta_{\mu\nu} b_{\nu} - \eta_{\nu\mu} b_{\mu}$$

\Rightarrow $a_{\mu\nu}$ gives rise to an infinitesimal translation

• from (1.9), $b_{\mu\nu}$ splits in antisym. part + trace

$$b_{\mu\nu} = m_{\mu\nu} + a \eta_{\mu\nu} \quad \text{with } m_{\mu\nu} = -m_{\nu\mu}$$

\downarrow
gives rise to
inf. rotations

\downarrow
gives rise to
inf. scale
transformation

Why can $b_{\mu\nu}$
(not $b_{\mu\nu}$?)
be separated
into antisym.
part + trace?

• infinitesimal holo associated to $C_{\mu\nu}$ is given by

$$x'^{\mu} = x^{\mu} + 2(b \cdot x) x^{\mu} - b^{\mu} x^2 \quad (SCT)$$

Why trace gives
rise to inf. scale
holo etc.?

From the finite transformations belonging to the infinitesimal ones, the generators of the conformal group can be identified as follows (transl. + rotation from the Poincaré group):

Translation: $x'^{\mu} = x^{\mu} + a^{\mu} \rightarrow P_{\mu} = -i\partial_{\mu}$

Rotation: $x'^{\mu} = M^{\mu}_{\nu} x^{\nu} \rightarrow L_{\mu\nu} = i(x_{\mu}\partial_{\nu} - x_{\nu}\partial_{\mu})$

Dilation: $x'^{\mu} = \alpha x^{\mu} \rightarrow D = -ix^{\nu}\partial_{\nu}$

SCT: $x'^{\mu} = \frac{x^{\mu} - b^{\mu}x^2}{1 - 2b \cdot x + b^2 x^2} \rightarrow K_{\mu} = -i(2x_{\mu}x^{\nu}\partial_{\nu} - x^2\partial_{\mu})$

6.

It follows, using $[X_{\mu}, P_{\nu}] = i\eta_{\mu\nu} = -i[X_{\mu}, \partial_{\nu}]$

$$\begin{aligned} [D, P_{\mu}] &= -i[X^k \partial_k, P_{\mu}] = [X^k P_k, P_{\mu}] \\ &= X^k \underbrace{[P_k, P_{\mu}]}_{=0} + [X_k, P_{\mu}] P^k = i\eta_{k\mu} P^k = i P_{\mu} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [D, K_{\mu}] &= -i[D, 2x_{\mu}x^{\nu}\partial_{\nu} - x^2\partial_{\mu}] \\ &= 2[D, x_{\mu}x^{\nu}P_{\nu}] - [D, x^2P_{\mu}] \\ &= 2[X^k P_k, x_{\mu}x^{\nu}P_{\nu}] - x^2[D, P_{\mu}] - [D, x^2]P_{\mu} \\ &= 2x_{\mu} \underbrace{[X^k P_k, x^{\nu}P_{\nu}]}_{=0} + 2[X^k P_k, x_{\mu}]x^{\nu}P_{\nu} \\ &\quad - ix^2P_{\mu} - [X^k P_k, x^{\lambda}x_{\lambda}]P_{\mu} \\ &= 2x^k [P_k, x_{\mu}]x^{\nu}P_{\nu} - ix^2P_{\mu} - x^k [P_k, x^{\lambda}x_{\lambda}]P_{\mu} \\ &= -2ix_{\mu}x^{\nu}P_{\nu} - ix^2P_{\mu} - x^k x^{\lambda} [P_k, x_{\lambda}]P_{\mu} - x^k [P_k, x_{\lambda}]x^{\lambda}P_{\mu} \\ &= -2ix_{\mu}x^{\nu}P_{\nu} - ix^2P_{\mu} + ix^2P_{\mu} + ix^2P_{\mu} \\ &= -i(2x_{\mu}x^{\nu}P_{\nu} - x^2P_{\mu}) = (-i)(-i)(2x_{\mu}x^{\nu}\partial_{\nu} - x^2\partial_{\mu}) \\ &= -iK_{\mu} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [K_{\mu}, P_{\nu}] &= [2x_{\mu}x^{\lambda}P_{\lambda} - x^2P_{\mu}, P_{\nu}] = -2[P_{\nu}, x_{\mu}x^{\lambda}P_{\lambda}] + [P_{\nu}, x^2P_{\mu}] \\ &= -2[P_{\nu}, x_{\mu}x^{\lambda}]P_{\lambda} + [P_{\nu}, x^2]P_{\mu} \\ &= -2x_{\mu} [P_{\nu}, x^{\lambda}]P_{\lambda} - 2[P_{\nu}, x_{\mu}]x^{\lambda}P_{\lambda} + x^{\lambda} [P_{\nu}, x_{\lambda}]P_{\mu} + [P_{\nu}, x^{\lambda}]x^{\lambda}P_{\mu} \\ &= 2ix_{\mu}P_{\nu} + 2i\eta_{\mu\lambda}x^{\lambda}P_{\nu} - ix_{\nu}P_{\mu} - ix_{\nu}P_{\mu} \\ &= 2i\eta_{\mu\nu}D + 2i(x_{\mu}P_{\nu} - x_{\nu}P_{\mu}) = 2i(\eta_{\mu\nu}D - ix_{\mu}\partial_{\nu} + ix_{\nu}\partial_{\mu}) \\ &= 2i(\eta_{\mu\nu}D - L_{\mu\nu}) \end{aligned}$$

Why/how get a finite trans from inf.?

$$\begin{aligned}
[K_S, L_{\mu\nu}] &= [K_S, x_\nu P_\mu - x_\mu P_\nu] = [K_S, x_\nu P_\mu] - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu) \\
&= x_\nu [K_S, P_\mu] + [K_S, x_\nu] P_\mu - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu) \\
&= \partial x_\nu (\eta_{\mu\sigma} \partial - L_{\sigma\mu}) + [2x_\mu x^\kappa P_\kappa - x^2 P_\mu, x_\nu] P_\mu - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu) \\
&= 2i x_\nu (\eta_{\mu\sigma} \partial - L_{\sigma\mu}) + 2x_\mu x^\kappa [P_\kappa, x_\nu] P_\mu - x^2 [P_\mu, x_\nu] P_\mu - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu) \\
&= 2i x_\nu (\eta_{\mu\sigma} \partial - L_{\sigma\mu}) - 2i x_\mu x_\nu P_\mu + i \eta_{\mu\nu} x^2 P_\mu - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu) \\
&= 2i x_\nu x^\kappa P_\kappa \eta_{\mu\sigma} - 2i x_\nu (x_\mu P_\mu - x_\mu P_\mu) - 2i x_\mu x_\nu P_\mu + i \eta_{\mu\nu} x^2 P_\mu - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu) \\
&= 2i x_\nu x^\kappa P_\kappa \eta_{\mu\sigma} - 2i x_\nu x_\mu P_\mu + i \eta_{\mu\nu} x^2 P_\mu - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu) \\
&= 2x_\nu x^\kappa \partial_\kappa \eta_{\mu\sigma} - 2x_\nu x_\mu \partial_\mu + \eta_{\mu\nu} x^2 \partial_\mu - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu) \\
&= 2x_\nu x^\kappa \partial_\kappa \eta_{\mu\sigma} - 2x_\mu x^\kappa \partial_\kappa \eta_{\mu\nu} + \eta_{\mu\nu} x^2 \partial_\mu - \eta_{\mu\sigma} x^2 \partial_\nu \\
&= i(\eta_{\mu\sigma} k_\nu - \eta_{\mu\nu} k_\sigma)
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, one finds: $[P_S, L_{\mu\nu}] = i(\eta_{\mu\sigma} P_\nu - \eta_{\mu\nu} P_\sigma)$
 $[L_{\mu\nu}, L_{\sigma\rho}] = i(\eta_{\mu\sigma} L_{\nu\rho} + \eta_{\mu\rho} L_{\nu\sigma} - \eta_{\mu\nu} L_{\sigma\rho} - \eta_{\mu\rho} L_{\sigma\nu})$

7. Define $J_{\mu\nu} = L_{\mu\nu}$, $J_{-p} = \frac{1}{2}(P_p - K_p) = -J_{p-}$
 $J_{+0} = D = J_{0+}$, $J_{0p} = \frac{1}{2}(P_p + K_p) = -J_{p0}$

We claim, that these generators satisfy the $SO(d+1, 1)$ algebra

$$[J_{ab}, J_{cd}] = i(\eta_{ad} J_{bc} + \eta_{bc} J_{ad} - \eta_{ac} J_{bd} - \eta_{bd} J_{ac})$$

with $\eta_{ab} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, \dots, 1)$

Before the
 $\eta_{ab} = \text{diag}(1, 1, \dots)$?

• ${}^a ab \hat{=} \mu\nu$, ${}^a cd \hat{=} \kappa\lambda$ indices from above

$$[L_{ab}, L_{cd}] = i(\eta_{bc} L_{ad} + \eta_{ad} L_{bc} - \eta_{ac} L_{bd} - \eta_{bd} L_{ac})$$

$$= i(\eta_{ad} J_{bc} + \eta_{bc} J_{ad} - \eta_{ac} J_{bd} - \eta_{bd} J_{ac})$$

• ${}^a ab \hat{=} \mu\nu$, ${}^a cd \hat{=} -1\mu$

What about
 $r=1$?

$$[L_{ab}, \frac{1}{2}(P_a - K_a)] = \frac{1}{2}([L_{ab}, P_a] - [L_{ab}, K_a])$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}i(\eta_{ba} K_b - \eta_{ab} K_a - \eta_{da} P_b + \eta_{db} P_a)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}i\eta_{db}(P_a - K_a) - \frac{1}{2}i\eta_{da}(P_b - K_b)$$

$$= i\eta_{db} J_{-a} - i\eta_{da} J_{-b}$$

$$= i(-\eta_{ad} J_{eb} + \eta_{bc} J_{ad} - \eta_{ac} J_{bd} + \eta_{bd} J_{ca})$$

$$= i(\eta_{ad} J_{bc} + \eta_{bc} J_{ad} - \eta_{ac} J_{bd} - \eta_{bd} J_{ca})$$

• ${}^a ab \hat{=} \mu\nu$, ${}^a cd \hat{=} -0$

$$[L_{ab}, D] = [x_\nu P_\mu - x_\mu P_\nu, D] = [D, x_\mu P_\nu] - [D, x_\nu P_\mu]$$

$$= ix_\mu P_\nu + [D, x_\mu] P_\nu - ix_\nu P_\mu - [D, x_\nu] P_\mu$$

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} [D, x_\mu] &= [x^k P_k, x_\mu] = x^k [P_k, x_\mu] \\ &= -ix^k \eta_{\mu k} = -ix_\mu \end{aligned} \right.$$

$$= ix_\mu P_\nu - ix_\mu P_\nu - ix_\nu P_\mu + ix_\nu P_\mu = 0$$

$$= i(\eta_{ad} J_{bc} + \eta_{bc} J_{ad} - \eta_{ac} J_{bd} - \eta_{bd} J_{ac})$$

$\begin{matrix} \eta_{ad} & \eta_{bc} & \eta_{ac} & \eta_{bd} \\ \eta_{0,0k} = \text{diag} & \eta_{0,c} = 1 & \eta_{0,c} = 1 & \eta_{0,ell} = \text{diag} \end{matrix}$

$\hat{a}^{\mu\nu} \hat{c}^{\alpha\beta} = \hat{a}^{\mu\nu}, \hat{c}^{\alpha\beta} = 0, \hat{a}^{\mu\nu}$

$$\begin{aligned} [L_{ab}, \frac{1}{2}(p_d + k_d)] &= \frac{1}{2} [L_{ab}, p_d] + \frac{1}{2} [L_{ab}, k_d] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} i (\eta_{ab} p_a - \eta_{ba} p_b + \eta_{ab} k_a - \eta_{ba} k_b) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} i \eta_{ab} (p_a + k_a) - \frac{1}{2} i \eta_{ba} (p_b + k_b) \\ &= i \eta_{ab} J_{0a} - i \eta_{ba} J_{0b} \\ &= i (\eta_{ad} J_{0c} + \eta_{bc} J_{0d} - \eta_{ac} J_{0d} + \eta_{bd} J_{0c}) \\ &= i (\eta_{ad} J_{bc} + \eta_{bc} J_{ad} - \eta_{ac} J_{bd} - \eta_{bd} J_{ac}) \end{aligned}$$

$\hat{a}^{\mu\nu} \hat{c}^{\alpha\beta} = -1 \mu^{\alpha}, \hat{c}^{\alpha\beta} = -1 \nu^{\alpha}$

$$\begin{aligned} [\frac{1}{2}(p_b - k_b), \frac{1}{2}(p_d - k_d)] &= \frac{1}{4} \{ [p_b, p_d] - [p_b, k_d] - [k_b, p_d] + [k_b, k_d] \} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \{ 2i (\eta_{bd} D - L_{bd}) - 2i (\eta_{bd} D - L_{bd}) + [k_b, k_d] \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [k_b, k_d] &= [2x_b x^k p_k - x^2 p_b, 2x_d x^l p_l - x^2 p_d] \\ &= 4 [x_b x^k p_k, x_d x^l p_l] + [x^2 p_b, x^2 p_d] \\ &\quad - 2 [x_b x^k p_k, x^2 p_d] - 2 [x^2 p_b, x_d x^l p_l] \\ &= 4 \{ x_b [x^k p_k, x_d x^l p_l] + [x_b, x_d x^l p_l] x^k p_k \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [x^k p_k, x^2 p_d] &= x^k [p_k, x^2 p_d] + [x^k, x^2 p_d] p_k \\ &= x^k [p_k, x^2] p_d + x^2 [x^k, p_d] p_k \\ &= x^k x^l [p_k, x_l] p_d + x^k [p_k, x_l] x^l p_d + i x^2 p_d \\ &= -i x^2 p_d \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [x_b, x^2 p_d] &= x^2 [x_b, p_d] \\ &= i \eta_{bd} x^2 \\ &= 4 \{ i x_b x_d x^l p_l + i x_d x_b x^k p_k \} \\ &\quad - 2i x^2 x_b p_d + 2i x^2 x_d p_b \\ &\quad + 2i x_b x^2 p_d - 2i x_d x^2 p_b = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} i (L_{bd} - L_{db}) = i L_{bd}$$

$$= i (\eta_{ad} J_{bc} + \eta_{bc} J_{ad} - \eta_{ac} J_{bd} - \eta_{bd} J_{ac})$$

What is J_{bd} ?



$\cdot \hat{u}_{ab} \hat{=} -1\mu^u, \hat{u}_{cd} \hat{=} -10^u$

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{1}{2} (P_b - k_b), D \right] &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ -i P_b + (-i k_b) \right\} = -\frac{1}{2} i (P_b + k_b) \\ &= -i J_{0b} = i J_{b0} \\ &= i (\eta_{ad} J_{bc} + \eta_{bc} J_{ad} - \eta_{ac} J_{bd} - \eta_{bd} J_{ac}) \end{aligned}$$

$\cdot \hat{u}_{ab} \hat{=} -1\mu^u, \hat{u}_{cd} \hat{=} 0\mu^u$

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{1}{2} (P_b - k_b), \frac{1}{2} (P_d + k_d) \right] &= \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \underbrace{[P_b, P_d]}_{=0} + [P_b, k_d] - [k_b, P_d] - \underbrace{[k_b, k_d]}_{=0} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left\{ 2i (\eta_{ab} D - L_{ab}) + 2i (\eta_{bd} D - L_{bd}) \right\} \\ L_{bd} = -L_{db} &\Rightarrow -\frac{1}{2} i (\eta_{db} D + \eta_{bd} D) = -i \eta_{bd} D \\ &= i (\eta_{ad} J_{bc} + \eta_{bc} J_{ad} - \eta_{ac} J_{bd} - \eta_{bd} J_{ac}) \end{aligned}$$

D_{-10} w/ nothing else, only D_{pr} ?

$\cdot \hat{u}_{ab} \hat{=} -10^u, \hat{u}_{cd} \hat{=} 0\mu^u$

$$\begin{aligned} \left[D, \frac{1}{2} (P_d + k_d) \right] &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ [D, P_d] + [D, k_d] \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ i P_d - i k_d \right\} = i J_{-1d} \\ &= i (\eta_{ad} J_{bc} + \eta_{bc} J_{ad} - \eta_{ac} J_{bd} - \eta_{bd} J_{ac}) \end{aligned}$$

$\eta_{00} = 1, \text{ not } -1?$

$\cdot \hat{u}_{ab} \hat{=} 0\mu^u, \hat{u}_{cd} \hat{=} 0\nu^u$

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{1}{2} (P_b + k_b), \frac{1}{2} (P_d + k_d) \right] &= \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \underbrace{[P_b, P_d]}_{=0} + [P_b, k_d] + [k_b, P_d] + \underbrace{[k_b, k_d]}_{=0} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left\{ -2i (\eta_{ab} D - L_{ab}) + 2i (\eta_{bd} D - L_{bd}) \right\} \\ &= i (L_{ab} - L_{bd}) \\ &= i (\eta_{ad} J_{bc} + \eta_{bc} J_{ad} - \eta_{ac} J_{bd} - \eta_{bd} J_{ac}) \end{aligned}$$

$0, \text{ as } bcd \text{ vanishes initially}$

$$2) 1. \delta_{E(z_1), E(z_2)} G(z_1, z_2) = \delta_{E(z_1)E(z_2)} \langle \phi_1(z_1) \phi_2(z_2) \rangle$$

$$= \langle \delta_{E(z_1)} \phi_1(z_1) \phi_2(z_2) \rangle + \langle \phi_1(z_1) \delta_{E(z_2)} \phi_2(z_2) \rangle$$

$$= \langle -(E(z_1) \partial_{z_1} + h_1 \partial_{z_1} E(z_1)) \phi_1(z_1) \phi_2(z_2) \rangle + \langle \phi_1(z_1) (-1) (E(z_2) \partial_{z_2} + h_2 \partial_{z_2} E(z_2)) \phi_2(z_2) \rangle$$

$$= -[E(z_1) \partial_{z_1} + h_1 \partial_{z_1} E(z_1)] G(z_1, z_2) - [E(z_2) \partial_{z_2} + h_2 \partial_{z_2} E(z_2)] G(z_1, z_2)$$

$$= -[E(z_1) \partial_{z_1} + h_1 \partial_{z_1} E(z_1) + E(z_2) \partial_{z_2} + h_2 \partial_{z_2} E(z_2)] G(z_1, z_2) \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

Question of def.
how it transforms?

Global conf. map
→ derivative
vanishes?

Why only Lorentz
group
 $SL(2, \mathbb{C}) / \mathbb{Z}_2 \cong SO(3, 1)$

$$2. E(z_i) = \alpha \mapsto (\alpha \partial_{z_1} + \alpha \partial_{z_2}) G(z_1, z_2) \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (\partial_{z_1} + \partial_{z_2}) G(z_1, z_2) \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

Introduce a new basis $z_+ = z_1 + z_2 \mapsto z_+ = \frac{1}{2}(z_+ + z_-)$
 $z_- = z_1 - z_2 \mapsto z_- = \frac{1}{2}(z_+ - z_-)$

$$\mapsto \partial_{z_+} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_+} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_+} \frac{\partial z_+}{\partial z_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z_-} \frac{\partial z_-}{\partial z_1} = \partial_{z_+} + \partial_{z_-}$$

$$\partial_{z_2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_+} \frac{\partial z_+}{\partial z_2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z_-} \frac{\partial z_-}{\partial z_2} = \partial_{z_+} - \partial_{z_-}$$

$$\mapsto 2 \partial_{z_+} G(z_+, z_-) \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \mapsto \text{No dependence on } z_+$$

$$\mapsto \text{at most depends on } z_-$$

$$3. E(z_i) = \beta z_i \mapsto [\beta z_1 \partial_{z_1} + h_1 \beta + \beta z_2 \partial_{z_2} + h_2 \beta] G(z_1, z_2) \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

$$\mapsto [z_+ (\partial_{z_+} + \partial_{z_-}) + h_1 + z_- (\partial_{z_+} - \partial_{z_-}) + h_2] G(z_-) \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

$$\mapsto [z_- \partial_{z_-} + h_1 + h_2] G(z_-) \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

$$\mapsto z_- \partial_{z_-} G(z_-) = -(h_1 + h_2) G(z_-)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{G'(z_-)}{G(z_-)} = -\frac{h_1 + h_2}{z_-}$$

$$\mapsto \ln G(z) = -(h_1 + h_2) \ln z + \text{const}$$

$$\int_{z_0}^{z_1} \frac{G'(z)}{G(z)} dz = \int_{z_0}^{z_1} \frac{h_1 + h_2}{z} dz$$

$$\Rightarrow \ln G(z_1 - z_2) - \ln G(z_0) = -(h_1 + h_2) \left[\ln(z_1 - z_2) - \ln(z_0) \right]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow G(z_1 - z_2) = e^{- (h_1 + h_2) \ln(z_1 - z_2)} \cdot e^{(h_1 + h_2) \ln(z_0)}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow G(z_1 - z_2) = \frac{C_{12}}{(z_1 - z_2)^{h_1 + h_2}} \quad \text{with } C_{12} = G(z_0) \cdot z_0^{h_1 + h_2}$$

$$4. E(z) = \gamma z^2 \Rightarrow [\gamma z_1^2 \partial_{z_1} + 2h_1 \gamma z_1 + \gamma z_2^2 \partial_{z_2} + 2h_2 \gamma z_2] G(z_1 - z_2) \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

insert $G(z_1 - z_2)$
divide by C_{12}

$$-z_1^2 (h_1 + h_2) (z_1 - z_2)^{-(h_1 + h_2) - 1} + 2h_1 z_1 (z_1 - z_2)^{-(h_1 + h_2)} + z_2^2 (h_1 + h_2) (z_1 - z_2)^{-(h_1 + h_2) - 1} + 2h_2 z_2 (z_1 - z_2)^{-(h_1 + h_2)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{h_1 + h_2}{(z_1 - z_2)^{h_1 + h_2 + 1}} \{ z_2^2 - z_1^2 \} + (2h_1 z_1 + 2h_2 z_2) (z_1 - z_2)^{-(h_1 + h_2)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{-(h_1 + h_2)(z_1 + z_2)}{(z_1 - z_2)^{h_1 + h_2 + 1}} + \frac{2h_1 z_1 + 2h_2 z_2}{(z_1 - z_2)^{h_1 + h_2}} \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{-h_1 z_1 - h_1 z_2 - h_2 z_1 - h_2 z_2 + 2h_1 z_1 + 2h_2 z_2}{(z_1 - z_2)^{h_1 + h_2}} \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{h_1 z_1 - h_1 z_2 + h_2 z_2 - h_2 z_1}{(z_1 - z_2)^{h_1 + h_2}} \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \left\{ z_1 (h_1 - h_2) + z_2 (h_2 - h_1) \right\} \frac{G(z_1 - z_2)}{C_{12}} \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

$$\Rightarrow h_1 = h_2 \text{ or } G(z_1 - z_2) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle \phi_{h_1}(z_1) \phi_{h_2}(z_2) \rangle = \begin{cases} \frac{C_{12}}{(z_1 - z_2)^{h_1 + h_2}} & h_1 = h_2 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$